

# Compliance Tracking Report 5 – Bulk Liquids Berth 2 at Port Botany

November 2013



## **Table of Contents**

| 1. | Intro  | oduct  | tion                                  | 2 |
|----|--------|--------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1  | .1     | Purp   | pose of this Document                 | 2 |
| 1  | .2     | Back   | kground                               | 3 |
| 1  | .3     | Proj   | ject Description                      | 3 |
| 1  | .4     | Loca   | ation                                 | 4 |
| 1  | .5     | Proj   | ject Update                           | 5 |
|    | 1.5.2  | 1      | Consultants and Advisers              | 5 |
|    | 1.5.2  | 2      | Main Construction Update              | 5 |
|    | 1.5.3  | 3      | Berth Users' Construction Phase       | 5 |
| 2. | Com    | plian  | nce Tracking Program                  | 6 |
| 2  | .1 Coi | mplia  | ance Reporting                        | 6 |
| 2  | .2 Env | viron  | mental Auditing                       | 7 |
| 2  | .3 Pre | e-Star | rt Up Compliance Reporting            | 7 |
| 3. | Арр    | endix  | x A – Conditions of Approval          | 8 |
| 4. | Арр    | endix  | x B – Vopak Compliance Documentation2 | 7 |



## **1. Introduction**

## **1.1 Purpose of this Document**

This is the fifth Compliance Tracking Report for the Bulk Liquids Berth No. 2 (BLB2) and covers the period from 25 May 2012 to 15 November 2013. This report has been prepared by NSW Ports in accordance with the Minister's Condition of Approval (CoA) 4.1b as stated below:

The Proponent shall develop and implement a **Compliance Tracking Program** to track compliance with the requirements of this approval. The Program shall be submitted to the Director-General for approval prior to the commencement of construction. The Program shall relate to both construction and operational stages of the project and shall include, but not necessarily be limited to:

- a) provisions for periodic review of the compliance status of the project against the requirements of this approval;
- b) provisions for periodic reporting of compliance status to the Director-General;
- c) provisions for specific reporting requirements as required by conditions 4.2 and 4.3;
- d) a program for independent environmental auditing at least annually, or as otherwise agreed by the Director-General, in accordance with ISO 19011:2002 - Guidelines for Quality and/ or Environmental Management Systems Auditing; and
- e) mechanisms for rectifying any non-compliance identified during environmental auditing or review of compliance.

Details of NSW Ports' Compliance Tracking Program (CTP) and information on how NSW Ports complies with CoA 4.1 are contained in Section 2.

Appendix A of this report lists each of the Minister's Conditions of Approval. For each CoA the following information is provided:

- the project phase to which the condition is applicable (construction, operation, etc.)
- the status of the Condition (open; in progress; in progress non-compliant; completed compliant; completed non-compliant; reviewed closed or reviewed closed non-compliant);
- the Condition's requirements from the Project Approval;
- the responsibility for carrying out the requirements of the Condition (Sydney Ports / PBOPL, Contractor, User); and
- the evidence of compliance including a record of all Approvals issued to date by the Director-General of the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I), now the Department of Planning and Infrastructure (DP&I).

The evidence of compliance contains a summary of how and when each condition is being, or has been, complied with.

**NSW** Ports

## **1.2 Background**

As at 31 May 2013, NSW Ports became the new land owner at Port Botany, responsible for landside port management including the BLB2 facility.

The development of the BLB2 will ensure New South Wales has adequate berth capacity to satisfy existing and future forecast demands for the import and export of bulk liquids including chemical, petroleum and gas products. The construction of the BLB2 will also reduce demurrage costs for ships delivering or receiving the products.

Project Approval of BLB2 was determined by the NSW Minister for Planning on 20 March 2008 (Major Projects Application 07\_0061). The Conditions of Approval have been modified and amended as follows:

- a) By letter from the Director-General, dated 22/12/10 (your reference: S07/00205);
- b) By letter from the Director-General, dated 24/12/10 (your reference: S07/00205);
- c) By letter from the Director-General, dated 14/4/11 (your reference: 11/03374-1);
- d) under section 75W of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) on 28 April 2011 (07\_0061 MOD 1);

Details of the modifications and amendments are either included within the condition requirements of the relevant CoAs or included as new compliance issues that clearly reference the source of the additional compliance requirements (Appendix A of this report).

## **1.3 Project Description**

The construction and operation of the BLB2 consists of the following key relevant components:

- A central working platform and working area, with berthing face (including bollards and fenders) and pipe manifold / marine loading arm arrangements;
- Adjacent berthing dolphins on each side of the working platform designed to accommodate the maximum design length vessel;
- Two mooring dolphins on each side of the working platform (four in total);
- Walkways (catwalks) connecting the dolphins and working platform;
- An access bridge structure connecting the working platform with the shore, providing vehicle access and pipeline support structures;
- Support infrastructure including fire control facilities (pumps, foam/water monitors and associated tanks), amenities buildings and services such as water, sewer, electrical and communications;
- Berth fit out, including fire fighting monitors and operator shelter; and
- Pipelines to user facilities including support and access structures such as pipe racks and culverts.



## **1.4 Location**

The BLB2 has been constructed adjacent to the existing Bulk Liquids Berth 1, at the south western end of Brotherson Dock and to the west of Fishburn Road, adjacent to Vopak and the Elgas Cavern. The site's location is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Location of BLB2 infrastructure

On 31 May 2013, NSW Ports purchased the 99 year lease rights for Port Botany from the NSW Government. The BLB2 development has been constructed and will operate over land owned by the NSW Government (i.e. Port Botany Lessor Pty Limited and the NSW Roads and Maritime Services). The BLB2 development occupies the following allotments:

- Part of Lot 52 DP 1182618 (formally part of Lot 7 DP 1126332).
- Part Lot 456 DP 1137279.



## 1.5 Project Update

#### 1.5.1 Consultants and Advisers

During the reporting period (May 2013 to November 2013), NSW Ports retained the following advisers, consultants and contractors to provide services for the project:

- Main Construction Contractor John Holland Pty Ltd;
- Contract Management SMEC Australia Pty Ltd;
- Designer and Technical Advisory Consultant WorleyParsons Services Pty Ltd;
- Gangway Tower Technical Advisor Haskoning Australia Pty Ltd;
- Consulting Surveyor Hard & Forester Pty Ltd;
- Quantity Surveying Services Rider Levett Bucknall NSW Pty Ltd;
- Project Management Services McLachlan Lister Pty Ltd;
- BCA Compliance Consultant BCA Logic Pty Ltd;
- Steel Work Silo Developments Australia Pty Ltd;
- Environmental Audit Dickson Environmental Consulting and Audit Pty Ltd; and
- Access Control Provider SNP Security.

#### 1.5.2 Main Construction Update

Practical completion of the main berth construction works was achieved on 12 April 2013. The Contractor retained possession of site until early June 2013 for the rectification of minor defects. During this period, construction of the following items were undertaken:

- Working Platform additional steelwork; and
- Fire control deluge system.

#### 1.5.3 Berth Users' Construction Phase

Two bulk liquids berth users, namely Vopak Terminals Australia (Vopak) and Terminals Pty Ltd (Terminals), have commenced installation of their infrastructure for unloading vessels of product.

It is currently anticipated that Terminals construction works will reach practical completion by the end of November 2013, while Vopak's construction works will be completed late December 2013.

BLB2 is expected to become operational at the start of December 2013 for Terminals' operations.



## 2. Compliance Tracking Program

Sydney Ports developed a Compliance Tracking Program (CTP) to track compliance with the condition of the Project Approval, in accordance with CoA 4.1. The CTP was submitted to the DP&I on 23 May 2011 with Sydney Ports being notified of its approval in a letter from DP&I, dated 14 June 2011. NSW Ports has retained the CTP and continues to track compliance with the conditions of the Project Approval, in accordance with CoA 4.1 and the approved CTP.

The CTP includes:

- Provisions for periodic review of the compliance status of the project against the conditions of the Project Approval.
- Provisions for periodic reporting of compliance status to the Director-General, including a compliance tracking report within three months of the commencement of construction and then every six months during construction, annual independent environmental audits with the initial report submitted after the first six months of construction and a compliance tracking report and an independent environmental audit in the first year of operations.
- A noise audit of the facility within 90 days of commencement of operations and a comprehensive hazard audit of the project 12 months after the commencement of operations (or within such period otherwise agreed by the Director-General), with subsequent hazard audits conducted every three years or as determined by the Director-General.
- Provisions for specific reporting requirements, including a Pre-Startup Compliance Report one month prior to the commencement of operations and a Post-Startup Compliance Report three months after the commencement of operations.
- Mechanisms for rectifying any non-compliance identified during environmental auditing or reviews of compliance.

## 2.1 Compliance Reporting

In accordance with the approved CTP and CoA 4.1(b), the first Compliance Tracking Report was submitted to DP&I on 21 November 2011. Notification was subsequently provided by DP&I that the Report was considered to satisfy the requirements of the condition. The second Compliance Tracking Report was submitted to DP&I on 29 May 2012 and notification was subsequently provided by DP&I that the Report was considered to satisfy the requirements of the condition (18 July 2012). The third that the Report was submitted to DP&I on 29 May 2012 on 15 November 2012 and DP&I subsequently



noted that it considered that the project is generally being undertaken in accordance with the approval (25 January 2013). The fourth Compliance Tracking Report was submitted to DP&I on 28 May 2013 and DP&I subsequently noted that it considered that the project is generally being undertaken in accordance with the approval (17 June 2013).

## **2.2 Environmental Auditing**

In accordance with the approved CTP and CoA 4.1(d), the first independent environmental audit was conducted on 27-29 June 2012 and submitted to DP&I on 23 August 2012 (see Compliance Tracking Report 4 for details). All identified Non-compliances and Issues of Concern were addressed and closed out. Further information requested by DP&I on 26 October 2012 and 7 February 2013 was provided on 19 November 2012 and 13 February 2013, respectively. DP&I provided its summary response to the Environmental Audit Report and subsequent correspondence on 22 February 2013.

The second independent environmental audit was undertaken on 7 August 2013 and the Environmental Audit Report submitted to DP&I on 26 September 2013.

The audit found no Non-compliances, one Issue of Concern and four Opportunities for Improvement. As a result of the audit findings, the Issue of Concern and four Opportunities for Improvement were addressed and therefore closed out for the purposes of the final Environmental Audit Report.

The audit also followed up on the Opportunities for Improvement identified in the first environmental audit and outlined that the items have since been closed out.

## 2.3 Pre-Start Up Compliance Reporting

Under condition 2.1 and 2.2 there were a number of safety studies that were required to be submitted to the Director-General for approval. These documents were submitted between July and October 2013 and have subsequently been approved. The Director-General's approval was conditional based on the studies being implemented. Confirmation that these studies are being implemented was provided in the Pre-Start Up Compliance Report which was submitted to DP&I on 16 October 2013. The report outlined that the second user (Vopak) were yet to commence their construction works and therefore were unable to demonstrate that all pre-construction and construction conditions had been satisfied and would be implemented in regards to the HAZOP Report and the Construction Safety Study (CSS).

Vopak works have commenced and the actions and recommendations from these reports are being implemented in accordance with the approval. Appendix B demonstrates that Vopak have incorporated all the HAZOP and CSS actions within their development. The matters raised in the Director-General's letter dated 29 July 2013 regarding the CSS are addressed below:



# (1) Implementing measures to ensure that all hot works construction activities cease during ship transfers of Dangerous Goods Class 3 from BLB1 to Site B

The Vopak Site B SHEQ Co-ordinator is managing the daily work permit issue for the BLB2 project. An Operations Notice has been issued that sets out the requirement for Operations Planning/Operations personnel to ensure that all hot works construction activities cease during ship transfers of Dangerous Goods Class 3 from BLB1 to Site B. An attached copy of the notice that is currently utilised is provided in Appendix B.

### (2) Implementing and maintaining the safeguards listed in the CSS

The Site B SHEQ Co-ordinator is managing the day-to-day oversight of the construction activities of the BLB2 project. Regular inspections and reviews are carried out. A summary of a recent audit is attached in Appendix B that verifies that the CSS safeguards are implemented and maintained.

(3) Implementing safeguards to ensure that construction work on common areas is undertaken by one User at a time.

There are a number of safeguards being implemented to ensure that construction works in common areas are only being undertaken by one user at a time. This includes:

- submission of user construction schedules to NSW Ports
- development of a coordinated works program
- weekly user coordination meetings to discuss compliance with the works program
- alternate working areas and timeframes for each user
- separate site establishment areas for each user

The Director-General's letter also requested a separate CSS for Vopak covering commissioning activities. Vopak are currently drafting a BLB2 Commissioning Plan that will address CSS matters for commissioning activities which will be submitted to the Director-General for approval.

## 3. Appendix A – Conditions of Approval

The Ministers Conditions of Approval are included below, including a summary of how and when each condition is being complied with.



| ID   | Condition of<br>Approval<br>Title | Condition Requirements   | Evidence of Compliance  | Project<br>Phase | Status             | Responsibility            |
|------|-----------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 6800 | 1.1 - Terms of<br>Approval        | The Proponent shall carry out the project<br>generally in accordance with the: a) Major<br>Projects Application 07_0061; b) Bulk Liquids<br>Berth No. 2 – Port Botany: Environmental<br>Assessment dated November 2007 and prepared<br>by Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd; c) additional<br>information provided by Sinclair Knight Merz Pty<br>Ltd to the Department titled Failure Frequency of<br>the Port Botany Bulk Liquids Berth 2 Marine<br>Loading Arms (letter dated 18 December 2007);<br>d) Response to Submissions Report prepared by<br>Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd and dated 26<br>February 2008; and e) the conditions of this<br>approval | The project is progressing towards the start of commission in accordance with the required documents.   | 5 - General      | 2. In<br>Progress  | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6801 | 1.2 - Terms of<br>Approval        | In the event of an inconsistency between: a) the conditions of this approval and any document listed from condition 1.1a) to 1.1d) inclusive, the conditions of this approval shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency; and b) any document listed from condition 1.1a) to 1.1d) inclusive, and any other document listed from condition 1.1a) to 1.1d) inclusive, the most recent document shall prevail to the extent of the extent of the inconsistency.  | This is noted.  | 5 - General      | 2. In<br>Progress  | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6802 | <u>1.3 - Terms of</u><br>Approval | The Proponent shall comply with any reasonable<br>requirement(s) of the Director-General arising<br>from the Department's assessment of: a) any<br>reports, plans or correspondence that are<br>submitted in accordance with this approval; and<br>b) the implementation of any actions or measures<br>contained in these reports, plans or<br>correspondence  | This is noted. Requirements of the Director-General provided in the following documents have been added into the Compliance Tracking System to ensure they are complied with Letter to Marika Calfas from NSW Planning, dated 22/12/10 (ref: S07/00205) - Letter to Marika Calfas from NSW Planning, dated 24/12/10 (ref: S07/00205) - Letter to Ryan Bennett from NSW Planning & Infrastructure, dated 14/4/11 (ref: 11/03374-1) - Letter to Ryan Bennett from DP&I, dated 30/05/11 (ref: 11/03374-1) In accordance with the request from DP&I (letter dated 7/12/11), Sydney Ports has placed the CEMP and the associated Environmental Control Plans on the Sydney Ports Corporation website. In accordance with the request from DP&I (letter dated from DP&I (letter dated from DP&I) (lett | 5 - General      | ♀2. In<br>Progress | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

|      |  |  | 18/07/12), Sydney Ports provided the Environmental<br>Audit Report to the Department (23/08/12) and<br>uploaded the Compliance Tracking Program and<br>Compliance Tracking Reports onto the Sydney Ports<br>website. DP&I requested clarification on a non-<br>compliance noted in the Environmental Audit (email<br>dated 26/10/12). Sydney Ports provided the requested<br>clarification to DP&I on 19/11/12. Requirements based<br>on the Hazard and Safety Studies were issued to NSW<br>Ports in the letters dated 14/11/2011 and 29/07/13.<br>These issues were addressed and compliance<br>demonstrated in the Pre-Start Up Compliance Report<br>submitted to DP&I on 16/10/13 required under CoA 4.2.  |             |                            |                           |
|------|--|--|--|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6807 | <u>1.4 - Limits of</u><br><u>Approval -</u><br><u>approval time</u><br><u>period</u> | This approval shall lapse five years after the date<br>on which it is granted, unless the works the<br>subject of this approval are physically<br>commenced on or before that time.  | The works were commenced in September 2011.  | 5 - General | 8.<br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | NSW Ports                 |
| 6808 | <u>1.5 - Limits of</u><br><u>Approval - LPG</u>                                      | The export of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) is<br>permitted, provided that a report detailing the<br>reverse flow prevention arrangements for LPG<br>export is firstly submitted to the satisfaction of<br>the Director-General.   | Following telephone discussion on 21/12/2012, email<br>response provided to DP&I on 07/01/2013 noting that a<br>report has not been developed because no<br>tenants/operators are currently committed to the<br>import and export of LPG.  | 5 - General | ◯2. In<br>Progress         | NSW Ports                 |
| 6809 | <u>1.6 - Statutory</u><br><u>Requirements</u>  | The Proponent shall ensure that all licences,<br>permits and approvals are obtained and kept up-<br>to-date as required throughout the life of the<br>development. No condition of this approval<br>removes the obligation for the Proponent to<br>obtain, renew or comply with such licences,<br>permits or approvals. The Proponent shall ensure<br>that a copy of this approval and all relevant<br>environmental approvals are available on the site<br>at all times during the project. | DECCW confirmed in an email to Christa Sams of<br>Sydney Ports that they will licence the BLB2 operators<br>and NOT Sydney Ports (Scheduled Development Work<br>and Shipping in Bulk EPLs) - 3 June 2010. Harbour<br>Master approval in accordance with clause 67 of the<br>'Management of Waters and Waterside Lands<br>Regulations' was obtained on 6 May 2011. Approval is<br>valid May 2011 - June 2013. The Contractor has applied<br>to Sydney Airport Corporation for approval of the crane<br>heights during BLB2 construction. Permit dated<br>18/08/2011 received from SACL detailing the conditions<br>imposed while operating a crane in the vicinity of the<br>airfield. The NSW Office of Water confirmed in an email<br>to John Holland (1/09/2011) that a dewatering licence<br>is not required for dewatering associated with culvert<br>works and a product pipeline. Appropriate licences will<br>be obtained by the users. | 5 - General | ♀2. In<br>Progress         | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6803 | <u>1.7 - Compliance</u>  | The Proponent shall ensure that employees, contractors and sub-contractors are aware of, and   | Compliance requirements that implicate or will be the responsibility of the construction contractor have been  | 5 - General | O <sub>2. In</sub>         | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

|      |  | comply with, the conditions of this approval relevant to their respective activities.   | detailed and provided in the tender documentation. A compliance tracking system has been set up and is being actively managed by Sydney Ports' staff to help ensure compliance with conditions of approval. The construction contractor is aware of and required to satisfy relevant conditions of approval and commitments. A Compliance Tracking Program has been prepared and approved by the D-G, which outlines how compliance issues will be managed and by whom. Compliance matters are routinely reviewed by Sydney Ports with Compliance Tracking Reports generated for submission to DP&I in accordance with CoA 4.1(b).  |                          | Progress                        |                           |
|------|--|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6804 | 1.8 - Compliance                       | The Proponent shall be responsible for<br>environmental impacts resulting from the actions<br>of all persons on site, including contractors, sub-<br>contractors and visitors.  | This is noted.  | 5 - General              | O2. In<br>Progress              | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6810 | <u>1.9 - Utilities and</u><br>Services | Prior to the commencement of construction, the<br>Proponent shall identify (including, but not limited<br>to the position and level of service) all public<br>utility services on the site, roadway, footpath,<br>public reserve or any public areas that are<br>associated with, and/or adjacent to the site,<br>and/or likely to be affected by the construction<br>and operation of the project.   | The following utility and service providers were<br>contacted to determine the location of services and<br>utilities prior to construction commencing: -Energy<br>Australia (Ausgrid); Jemena; Optus; Savcor; Sydney<br>Water; Telstra  | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | Contractor                |
| 6805 | 1.10 - Utilities and<br>Services       | The Proponent shall consult with the relevant<br>utility provider(s) for those services identified<br>under condition 1.9 and make arrangements to<br>adjust and/or relocate services as required. The<br>Proponent shall bear the full cost associated with<br>providing utilities and services to the site, and<br>restoring any public utilities that may be<br>damaged during the proposed works. | Consultation occurred with Ausgrid and arrangements<br>made to relocate 2 light poles as required. Work carried<br>out by Ausgrid to relocate and remove light poles and<br>cable near culvert on 28/08/2011. Further consultation<br>occurred with Ausgrid regarding disconnection of light<br>poles in the vicinity of the temporary crane pad during<br>piling, and these light poles were disconnected.<br>Consultation ongoing in relation to future works.<br>Meeting held with Ausgrid at BLB1 site on 13/12/2011<br>to discuss the inspection of the new 11kV cable<br>installation and connection. Ausgrid was present during<br>the installation of conduits bypassing the eastern<br>culvert section on 19/12/2011. Ausgrid inspected the<br>HV conduit installation and substation base in Jan 2012.<br>Temporary light poles in the vicinity of BLB1 removed<br>on 31/01/2012. Ausgrid inspected the HV cable<br>installation and jointing and kiosk installation in Feb | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | Contractor                |

|      |  |   | 2012. The Contractor prepared a Watermain Connection<br>Application for submission to Sydney Water and<br>submitted the Major Works Deed to Sydney Ports for<br>execution. Sydney Ports executed the Deed in April<br>2012 and the Contractor submitted it to Sydney Water<br>for the work to be tendered. The Contractor liaised with<br>Sydney Water regarding the BLB2 sewer connection.<br>The Ausgrid kiosk was energised on 14/09/2012. The<br>Plan of Easement was lodged with LPI on 18/09/2012<br>and confirmation of registration on 19/10/2012 has<br>been received. Sydney Water provided conditional<br>approval of the Wastewater Connection Application on<br>25/10/2012 and Sydney Ports executed the Customer<br>Agreement - Pump to sewer service on 01/11/2012.<br>Sydney Water approved the Water Connection<br>Application on 05/11/2012.                           |                          |                                 |                           |
|------|--|---|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6806 | <u>1.11 - Utilities and</u><br><u>Services</u>       | Prior to the commencement of construction works<br>that may affect services/utilities, the Proponent<br>shall provide documentary evidence to the<br>Director-General that the requirements of the<br>relevant utility provider(s) have been met.   | Documentary evidence supplied to DP&I (23 August 2011) indicating that the requirements of Ausgrid have been met. Letter from DP&I (19/9/11) received confirming that the Department is satisfied that the requirements of CoA 1.11 have been met in relation to the temporary removal of the light poles. Removed light poles have been re-instated following completion of main construction works. Users to comply should their works impact any utilities.   | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | Contractor / NSW<br>Ports |
| 6811 | 2.1 (a) - Hazards<br>and Risk - Fire<br>Safety Study | One month prior to the commencement of<br>construction of the project (except for preliminary<br>works as described in CoA 2.1), a Fire Safety<br>Study shall be prepared and submitted for the<br>approval of the Director-General, covering the<br>relevant aspects of the Department of Planning's<br>'Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No.<br>2 - Fire Safety Study Guidelines' and the NSW<br>Government's 'Best Practice Guidelines for<br>Contaminated Water Retention and Treatment<br>Systems'. In addition to approval from the<br>Director General, approval for this study shall also<br>be obtained from the Commissioner of the NSW<br>Fire Brigades. | Letter to submit the FSS for DG Approval sent<br>14/02/11. The DG has approved the FSS as per the<br>letter dated 14/04/11. Response received from the<br>Commissioner of FRNSW on 28 April requesting<br>amendments to the FSS. Amended FSS provided 23<br>May. Approval letter received from FRNSW (10 June<br>2011). Revised FSS received 31/08/2011 relating to<br>foam tank change from bladder to atmospheric. Revised<br>FSS with further updates received 31/10/2011 and<br>submitted to DP&I and FRNSW on 21/11/2011.<br>Response received from FRNSW (25/01/12) indicating<br>that they consider this condition remains satisfied<br>following review of revised FSS. Response received<br>from DP&I (27/01/12) indicating that they do not have<br>any further comments based on the amended FSS.<br>Refer to issue 8079 for updates during the Users'<br>construction phase. | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports                 |

| 8 | 3079 | <u>DoP Letter -</u><br><u>14/04/11 - Hazard</u><br><u>&amp; Risk Studies</u><br>(CoA 2.1) | The Users' designers are to review the Fire<br>Safety Study (re CoA 2.1 a) as part of the design<br>process and amend it based on the proposed gas<br>and liquid transfer and infrastructure installed.<br>The updated FSS shall then be submitted to<br>Sydney Ports for review prior to the finalisation of<br>the detailed design works for the berth. The Users<br>are to confirm that the recommended safety<br>features have been incorporated for the Marine<br>Loading Arms during design of the pipeline<br>facilities. The updated FSS is to be submitted to<br>the DG for approval.  | Users' review will commence when required as per the project schedule. The FSS is being updated to include bitumen handling by Terminals. Users have reviewed and adopted the FSS. Reviewed and updated Fire Safety Study submitted to DP&I 31/5/13. Approval received 29/6/13. Vopak will need to seek an amendment to the approval to accommodate the method of connection proposed (quick connect /disconnect couplings instead of bolted connections) between MLA and ship's manifolds.   | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | 2. In<br>Progress               | NSW Ports / Users |
|---|------|---|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 6 | 812  | 2.1 (b)-Hazards<br>and Risk-Hazard<br>and Operability<br>Study                            | One month prior to the commencement of<br>construction of the project (except for preliminary<br>works as described in CoA 2.1), a Hazard and<br>Operability Study, chaired by an independent and<br>qualified person approved by the Director-General<br>prior to the commencement of the study, shall be<br>carried out in accordance with Department of<br>Planning's Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory<br>Paper No. 8 - HAZOP Guidelines. The study report<br>shall be accompanied by a program for the<br>implementation of all recommendations made in<br>the report. If the Proponent proposes to defer the<br>implementation must be included. | HAZOP Study submitted on 14/02/11. The DG has<br>approved the HAZOP Study as per the letter dated<br>14/04/11. A program for the implementation of the<br>HAZOP is to be submitted to the DG by 20/05/11<br>(extension granted by DP&I from 14/05 to 20/05 as per<br>email from Ingrid Ilias, dated 10/05/11). This letter also<br>requires that: - all actions arising from the study are<br>required to be implemented in a timely manner. If<br>Sydney Ports intends to defer the implementation of<br>recommendations made, then full justification must be<br>provided to the Department the study is required to<br>take into consideration the final piling methodology and<br>associated environmental management, as relevant.<br>Program for the implementation of the HAZOP<br>submitted to DP&I 23 May 2011. Email sent to DP&I,<br>dated 8 June 2011, indicating that the HAZOP Studies<br>are not the relevant medium to consider the final piling<br>methodology and associated environmental<br>management. Letter received from DP&I, dated 16 June<br>2011, approving the HAZOP implementation program<br>(submitted 23/05/11) and indicating that they are<br>satisfied that the final piling methodology and<br>associated environmental management has been<br>adequately addressed in other studies and reports. As a<br>result of the fire fighting foam system design change<br>from foam bladder storage to an atmospheric tank, a<br>HAZOP Study was conducted on 15/06/2011 and a<br>Foam Fire Fighting System HAZOP Report received for<br>review on 27/06/2011. Final Foam Fire Fighting System<br>HAZOP Report received on 12/12/2011. Both Users (i.e.<br>Vopak and Terminals) have completed their HAZOPs | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports / Users |

|      |  |  | and these reports will be issued to DP&I in accordance<br>with this condition. Reports submitted to DP&I on May<br>2013. Approval received 29 July 2013. Evidence of<br>compliance with the HAZOP is provided in the Pre-Start<br>Up Compliance Report required under CoA 4.2<br>submitted to the DP&I 16/10/13.   |                          |   |                   |
|------|--|--|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 6813 | <u>2.1 (c) - Hazard</u><br>and Risk - Final<br>Hazard Analysis | One month prior to the commencement of<br>construction of the project (except for preliminary<br>works as described in CoA 2.1), a Final Hazard<br>Analysis shall be prepared in accordance with the<br>Department of Planning's Hazardous Industry<br>Planning Advisory Paper No. 6 – Guidelines for<br>Hazard Analysis.  | Letter drafted to seek the Agreement of DG for<br>submission of the FHA 1 month prior to the BLB2 User's<br>commencement of construction. Letter noted above<br>sent 14/02/11. The DG has agreed to the submission of<br>the FHA at least one month prior to the Users'<br>commencement of construction as per the letter dated<br>14/04/11. The FHA was completed in May 2013 and the<br>report is to be finalised for submission to DP&I. Report<br>submitted to DP&I on 31/05/13 and approval was<br>received on 29/7/13. Compliance with the FHA is<br>detailed in the Pre-Start Up Compliance Report required<br>under CoA 4.2 which was submitted to DP&I on<br>16/10/13.  | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ● <sub>5.</sub><br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports / Users |
| 6814 | 2.1 (d) - Hazard<br>and Risk -<br>Construction<br>Safety Study | One month prior to the commencement of<br>construction of the project (except for preliminary<br>works as described in CoA 2.1), a Construction<br>Safety Study shall be prepared in accordance with<br>the Department of Planning's Hazardous Industry<br>Planning Advisory Paper No. 7 - Construction<br>Safety Study Guidelines. Because the construction<br>period exceeds six months, the "commissioning"<br>portion of the study may be submitted two<br>months prior to the commencement of<br>commissioning. | Letter drafted to seek the Agreement of DG for<br>submission of the Construction Safety Study 1 month<br>prior to the BLB2 User's commencement of<br>construction. Letter noted above sent 14/02/11. The DG<br>has agreed to the submission of the CSS at least one<br>month prior to the Users' commencement of<br>construction as per the letter dated 14/04/11. Both<br>Users (i.e. Vopak and Terminals) have prepared a CSS<br>to be submitted to DP&I following review by Sydney<br>Ports. CSS's were submitted to DP&I on 31/05/13 and<br>approval received 29/7/13. Compliance with Terminals<br>CSS was provided in the Pre-Start Up Compliance<br>Report submitted to DP&I 16/10/13 required under CoA<br>4.2. Compliance with Vopak CSS to be provided in the<br>November 2013 Compliance Tracking Report.<br>As per the DP&I letter (29/7/13) user Vopak are<br>required to submit to the D-G a separate CSS for<br>commissioning activities no later than one month prior<br>to commissioning of their infrastructure. The<br>Commissioning Report is currently being drafted by<br>Vopak. | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ◯2. In<br>Progress                          | NSW Ports / Users |

| 6815 | 2.2 (a) -<br>Emergency Plan                            | Two months prior to the commencement of<br>project commissioning, or within such period<br>otherwise agreed by the Director-General, the<br>Proponent shall develop and implement a<br>comprehensive Emergency Plan and detailed<br>emergency procedures for the project prepared in<br>accordance with the Department of Planning's<br>Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No.<br>1 - Industry Emergency Planning Guidelines, and<br>submit them for the approval of the Director-<br>General.   | NSW Ports will coordinate submission. Emergency Plan<br>developed by NSW Ports and submitted to DP&I on<br>26/9/13. Approval from DP&I received 16/10/13.   | 2 - Pre-<br>operational | ● <sub>5.</sub><br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports          |
|------|--|--|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------|
| 6816 | 2.2 (b) - Safety<br><u>Management</u><br><u>System</u> | Two months prior to the commencement of<br>project commissioning, or within such period<br>otherwise agreed by the Director-General, the<br>Proponent shall develop and implement a<br>comprehensive Safety Management System<br>covering all on-site operations and associated<br>transport activities involving hazardous materials.<br>The document shall clearly specify all safety<br>related procedures, responsibilities and policies,<br>along with details of mechanisms for ensuring<br>adherence to the procedures. Records shall be<br>kept on-site and shall be available for inspection<br>by the Director-General upon request. The Safety<br>Management System shall be developed in<br>accordance with the Department of Planning's<br>Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No.<br>9 - Safety Management. | NSW Ports will prepare and maintain safety<br>management procedures. A SMS for BLB2 was<br>developed in August 2013 for implementation from 1<br>November 2013. The SMS is available for inspection by<br>the D-G upon request. The SMS was submitted to DP&I<br>for approval 30/10/13. Comments on the SMS were<br>received from DP&I by email on 6/11/13. A revised SMS<br>was submitted to DP&I on 7/11/13. Awaiting final DP&I<br>approval. | 2 - Pre-<br>operational | 2. In<br>Progress                           | NSW Ports          |
| 6817 | 2.3 - Odour  | The Proponent shall not permit any offensive<br>odour, as defined under section 129 of the<br>Protection of the Environment Operations Act<br>1997, to be emitted beyond the boundary of the<br>site unless as otherwise permitted by an<br>Environment Protection Licence   | This is noted.  | 5 - General             | ♀2. In<br>Progress                          | Contractor / Users |
| 6818 | 2.4 - Dust<br>Emissions                                | The Proponent shall undertake the project in a manner that minimises or prevents dust emissions from the site, including wind-blown and traffic-generated dust. Should visible dust emissions occur at any time, the Proponent shall identify and implement all practicable dust mitigation measures, including cessation of   | This is noted. Addressed in Contractor's CEMP and associated Environmental Control Plans (see Issue 6845). Dust suppression undertaken by lightly hosing the affected area and using a road sweeper. Vopak query received 04/12/2012 regarding dust during road works, however by the time the call was received the activities had ceased.   | 3 -<br>Construction     | 2. In<br>Progress                           | Contractor         |

|      |                                     | relevant works, as appropriate, such that emissions of visible dust cease.   |   |                     |   |            |
|------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|------------|
| 6819 | 2.5 - Construction<br>Noise Impacts | To mitigate construction noise impacts<br>associated with the project, the Proponent shall<br>only undertake construction activities that are<br>audible at any residential receptor during the<br>hours listed below: a) all works undertaken on<br>Mondays to Fridays shall only be carried out<br>between 7:00 am to 6.00 pm; b) all works<br>undertaken on Saturdays shall only be carried out<br>between 8:00 am and 1.00 pm; and c) no<br>construction works shall occur on Sundays or<br>public holidays. This condition does not apply in<br>the event of a direction from police or other<br>relevant authority for safety or emergency<br>reasons. Note: 'safety or emergency reasons'<br>refers to emergency works which may need to be<br>undertaken to avoid loss of life, property loss<br>and/or to prevent environmental harm. | This is noted.  | 3 -<br>Construction | 2. In<br>Progress                       | Contractor |
| 6820 | 2.6 - Construction<br>Noise Impacts | The hours of construction activities specified<br>under condition 2.5 of this approval may be<br>varied with the prior written approval of the<br>Director-General. Any request to alter the hours<br>of construction specified under condition 2.5 shall<br>be: a) considered on a case-by-case basis; b)<br>accompanied by details of the nature and need<br>for activities to be conducted during the varied<br>construction hours; and c) accompanied by<br>sufficient information for the Director-General to<br>reasonably determine that activities undertaken<br>during the varied construction hours will not<br>adversely impact on the acoustic amenity of<br>receptors in the vicinity of the site.  | This is noted.  | 3 -<br>Construction | 2. In<br>Progress                       | Contractor |
| 6821 | 2.7 - Construction<br>Noise Impacts | Notwithstanding condition 2.5, no audible piling activities are permitted to occur on the weekend or public holidays.  | CoA 2.7 has been deleted pursuant to Modification of<br>Minister's Approval 07_0061 MOD 1, dated 28 April<br>2011, allowing pile driving activities on Saturdays in<br>accordance with CoA 2.5.                                 | 3 -<br>Construction | ● <sub>8.</sub><br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | Contractor |
| 6822 | 2.8 - Construction<br>Noise Impacts | No driven piles are permitted for the construction<br>of wharf structures unless otherwise agreed by<br>the Director-General.  | A letter to the Department of Planning regarding piling<br>issues was sent 24 Nov 2010. DoP responded with a<br>letter, dated 22 Dec 2010, allowing pile driving but<br>placing additional time restrictions on the activity. A | 3 -<br>Construction | ● <sub>8.</sub><br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | Contractor |

|      |  |   | subsequent letter was sent to DoP (23 Dec 2010)<br>seeking reconsideration of its position on construction<br>hours and respite periods. DoP responded (24 Dec<br>2010) agreeing that piling activities may be carried out<br>as per CoA 2.5 subject to the provisions of CoA 2.7 (see<br>Issue 7448). |                     |                            |                   |
|------|--|---|--|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 7448 | 2.8 - Driven Piles-<br>Construction<br>Noise Impacts (DG<br>letter 24/12/10) | The use of driven piles is permitted during the construction hours prescribed in CoA 2.5 and in accordance with CoA 2.7 and 6.2d.   | Refer to Issue Response under 6822 for background<br>information. Piling for the project was commenced on 2<br>December 2011 and completed on 26 April 2012.   | 3 -<br>Construction | 8.<br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | Contractor        |
| 6823 | 2.9 - Operation<br>Noise Impacts   | The Proponent shall minimise noise emissions<br>from plant and equipment operated on the site by<br>installing and maintaining, wherever practicable,<br>efficient silencers and low-noise mufflers<br>(residential standard).  |  | 1 -<br>Operational  | ●1. Open                   | NSW Ports / Users |
| 6824 | 2.10 - Operation<br>Noise Impacts  | The Proponent shall design, operate and<br>maintain the project to ensure that the noise<br>contributions from the project do not exceed the<br>maximum allowable noise contributions specified<br>in Table 1 (see Project Approval document), at<br>those locations and during those periods<br>indicated. The maximum allowable noise<br>contributions apply under: a) meteorological<br>condition of wind speeds up to 3 ms-1 (measured<br>at 10 metres above ground level); or b)<br>temperature inversion conditions up to 3oC per<br>100 metres and wind speeds up to 2ms-1<br>(measured at 10 metres above ground level).  |  | 1 -<br>Operational  | ●1. Open                   | NSW Ports / Users |
| 6825 | 2.11 - Operation<br>Noise Impacts  | For the purpose of assessment of noise<br>contributions specified under condition 2.10 of<br>this approval, noise from the project shall be: a)<br>Measured at the most affected point on or within<br>the residential boundary to determine compliance<br>with the LAeq(15 minute) and LAeq(night) noise<br>limits outlined in condition 2.10; and b) subject to<br>the modification factors provided in Section 4 of<br>the New South Wales Industrial Noise Policy (EPA,<br>2000), where applicable. Notwithstanding, should<br>direct measurement of noise from the premises<br>be impractical, the Proponent may employ an<br>alternative noise assessment method deemed |  | 1 -<br>Operational  | ●1. Open                   | NSW Ports / Users |

|      |                                  | acceptable by the DECC (refer to Section 11 of<br>the New South Wales Industrial Noise Policy (EPA,<br>2000)). Details of such an alternative noise<br>assessment method accepted by the DECC shall<br>be submitted to the Director-General prior to the<br>implementation of the assessment method.   |                      |                    |                   |                           |
|------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 6832 | 2.12 - Soil and<br>Water Impacts | The Proponent shall ensure that all stormwater<br>on the working platform is directed to a<br>stormwater treatment unit/pollutant trap capable<br>of removing gross pollutants, oil, grease and<br>sediments, prior to it being discharged to Botany<br>Bay.   | Addressed in design. | 1 -<br>Operational | 2. In<br>Progress | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6833 | 2.13 - Soil and<br>Water Impacts | The Proponent shall ensure that all oil and grease<br>or other pollutants in the wastewater storage tank<br>and the stormwater treatment unit is regularly<br>collected and disposed of off-site at a waste<br>management facility lawfully permitted to accept<br>this waste.   |                      | 1 -<br>Operational | ●1. Open          | NSW Ports                 |
| 6834 | <u>3.1 - Noise Audit</u>         | Within 90 days of commencement of operations<br>associated with the project and during a period in<br>which the project is operating under normal<br>operating conditions, the Proponent shall<br>undertake a noise audit to detail the noise<br>emission performance of the facility. This audit<br>shall meet the requirements of the DECC, and<br>shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: a)<br>noise monitoring, consistent with the guidelines<br>provided in New South Wales Industrial Noise<br>Policy (EPA, 2000) to assess compliance with the<br>criteria specified in Table 1 of this approval; b)<br>methodologies for noise monitoring; c) location(s)<br>of noise monitoring; d) frequency of noise<br>monitoring; e) identification of monitoring sites at<br>which pre-and post-project levels can be<br>ascertained; and f) provision of details of any<br>complaints received relating to noise generated<br>by the project, and action taken to respond to<br>those complaints. |                      | 1 -<br>Operational | ●1. Open          | NSW Ports                 |
| 6835 | <u>3.2 - Noise Audit</u>         | Within 28 days of conducting the noise audit<br>referred to under condition 3.1 of this approval,<br>the Proponent shall provide the Director-General  |                      | 1 -<br>Operational | ●1. Open          | NSW Ports                 |

|      |                                      | and DECC with a copy of the report. If the noise<br>audit identifies any non-compliance with the noise<br>limits imposed under this approval, the Proponent<br>shall detail what additional measures would be<br>implemented to ensure compliance, clearly<br>indicating who would implement these measures,<br>when these measures would be implemented, and<br>how the effectiveness of these measures would<br>be measured and reported to the Director-<br>General.  |   |                          |   |                           |
|------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 6836 | <u>3.3 - Hazard Audit</u>            | Twelve months after the commencement of<br>operations of the project or within such period<br>otherwise agreed by the Director-General, the<br>Proponent shall carry out a comprehensive<br>Hazard Audit of the project and within one month<br>of its completion submit the audit report to the<br>Director General. The audit shall be carried out at<br>the Proponent's expense by a duly qualified<br>independent person or team approved by the<br>Director General prior to commencement of the<br>audit. Further audits shall be carried out every<br>three years or as determined by the Director<br>General and a report of each audit shall be<br>submitted to the Director General within one<br>month of each audit completion date. All hazard<br>audits shall be carried out in accordance with the<br>Department of Planning's Hazardous Industry<br>Planning Advisory Paper No.5 - Hazard Audit<br>Guidelines. Each audit shall include a review of<br>the site Safety Management System and a review<br>of all entries made in the incident register since<br>the previous audit. Each audit report must be<br>accompanied by a program for the<br>implementation of all recommendations made in<br>the audit report. If the Proponent intends to defer<br>the implementation of a recommendation,<br>justification must be included. |   | 1 -<br>Operational       | ●1. Open                                    | NSW Ports                 |
| 6837 | 4.1 - Compliance<br>Tracking Program | The Proponent shall develop and implement a<br>Compliance Tracking Program to track compliance<br>with the requirements of this approval. The<br>Program shall be submitted to the Director-<br>General for approval prior to the commencement   | A Compliance Tracking System has been implemented<br>to track compliance across all phases of the project. It<br>allows for satisfaction of the requirements listed in a) to<br>e). A Compliance Tracking Program (CTP) was<br>submitted for DG approval 23 May 2011. DG approval | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ● <sub>5.</sub><br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

|      |                                      | of construction. The Program shall relate to both<br>construction and operational stages of the project<br>and shall include, but not necessarily be limited<br>to: a) provisions for periodic review of the<br>compliance status of the project against the<br>requirements of this approval; b) provisions for<br>periodic reporting of compliance status to the<br>Director-General; c) provisions for specific<br>reporting requirements as required by conditions<br>4.2 and 4.3; d) a program for independent<br>environmental auditing at least annually, or as<br>otherwise agreed by the Director-General, in<br>accordance with ISO 19011:2002 - Guidelines for<br>Quality and/ or Environmental Management<br>Systems Auditing; and e) mechanisms for<br>rectifying any non-compliance identified during<br>environmental auditing or review of compliance. | of the CTP provided 14 June 2011. A Compliance<br>Tracking Report (CTR) was submitted to the DoP on<br>21/11/2011 in accordance with the CTP. Approval of the<br>Report was obtained from the DoP on 7/12/2011. A CTR<br>was submitted to DP&I on 29/05/2012. Approval of the<br>Report was obtained from DP&I on 18/07/2012. The<br>first Environmental Audit Report was submitted to DP&I<br>on 23/08/2012. Sydney Ports followed up the DP&I<br>query received 26/10/2012 seeking clarification of non-<br>compliances relating to the disposal of waste and<br>provided a response to DP&I on 19/11/2012. DP&I<br>raised further questions by phone (Feb 2013), which<br>were responded to by email from SPC on 13/02/13. A<br>response letter from DP&I accepting the SPC response<br>was received, dated 22/02/13. A CTR (#3) was<br>submitted to DP&I on 15/11/2012 for the period from<br>May - November 2012. It was approved by DP&I<br>25/01/2013. A CTR (#4) was submitted to DP&I on<br>28/5/13 for the period November 2012-May 2013. It<br>was approved by DP&I 17/6/13. The second<br>Environmental Audit Report was provided to DP&I on<br>26/09/13. It was approved by DP&I 8/10/13. |                    |                   |                           |
|------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| 6838 | 4.2 - Compliance<br>Tracking Program | One month prior to the commencement of<br>project operations, the Proponent shall submit to<br>the Director-General a Pre-Startup Compliance<br>Report detailing compliance with conditions 2.1<br>and 2.2, including: a) dates of study/plan/system<br>submission, approval, commencement of<br>construction and commissioning; b) actions taken<br>or proposed to implement recommendations<br>made in the studies/plans/systems; and c)<br>response to any requirements imposed by the<br>Director-General under condition 1.3.   | The Pre-Start Up Compliance Report was prepared by<br>NSW Ports and submitted to DP&I on 16/10/13. The<br>report contained details of NSW Ports and Terminals<br>compliance with the safety and hazard documentation<br>in CoA 2.1 and 2.2. The report noted that Vopak were<br>yet to commence construction so evidence of<br>compliance with their HAZOPs and CSS were not able to<br>be provided. Details of this compliance are provided in<br>the November Compliance Tracking Report required<br>under CoA 4.1.  | 1 -<br>Operational | 2. In<br>Progress | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6840 | 4.3 - Compliance<br>Tracking Program | Three months after the commencement of<br>project operations, the Proponent shall submit to<br>the Director-General, a Post-Startup Compliance<br>Report verifying that: a) the Emergency Plan<br>required under condition 2.2a) is in place and<br>effective and that at least one emergency<br>exercise has been conducted; and b) the Safety<br>Management System required under condition<br>2.2b) has been fully implemented and that   |  | 1 -<br>Operational | ●1. Open          | NSW Ports / Users         |

|      |  | records required by that system are being kept on site.   |   |                          |                                 |                           |
|------|--|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6841 | 5.1 - Community<br>Information,<br>Consultation and<br>Involvement | Subject to confidentiality, the Proponent shall<br>make all documents required under this approval<br>available for public inspection on request.   | The EA is publicly available on SydneyPorts.com.au.<br>Project contacts are available on this website (as<br>follows): Telephone: +61 2 9296 4999 Fax +61 2 9296<br>4742 E-mail: blb2.project@sydneyports.com.au Media<br>inquiries: Please contact the Media Manager on +61 2<br>9296 4995. The website also includes a form for<br>submission of queries, feedback and complaints.<br>Requests by the public for any documents required<br>under the Approval will be forwarded to Oliver Smith<br>and Ryan Bennett and will be provided as required<br>(subject to confidentiality). In accordance with the<br>request from DP&I (letter dated 7/12/11), Sydney Ports<br>has placed the CEMP and the associated Environmental<br>Control Plans on the Sydney Ports Corporation website.<br>In accordance with the request from DP&I (letter dated<br>18/07/12), Sydney Ports uploaded the Compliance<br>Tracking Program and Compliance Tracking Reports<br>onto the Sydney Ports website. In accordance with the<br>request from DP&I (letter dated 27/02/13), Sydney<br>Ports uploaded the Environmental Audit Report onto the<br>Sydney Ports website. As at 31 May 2013, BLB2<br>documentation transferred to NSW Ports website<br>(www.nswportsbotany.com.au). The contractors CEMPs<br>have been uploaded to the website. The second BLB2<br>audit undertaken on 7/8/13 reviewed the content of the<br>website to ensure all relevant documental audit report<br>has been uploaded to the website. | 5 - General              | ♀2. In<br>Progress              | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6842 | 5.2 - Complaints<br>Procedure                                      | Prior to the commencement of construction of<br>the project, the Proponent shall ensure that the<br>following are available for community complaints<br>for the life of the project (including construction<br>and operation): a) a telephone number on which<br>complaints about construction and operational<br>activities at the site may be registered; b) a<br>postal address to which written complaints may<br>be sent; and c) an email address to which<br>electronic complaints may be transmitted. The<br>telephone number, the postal address and the<br>email address shall be displayed on a sign near | Two signs with the relevant information have been<br>installed by the construction contractor on site gates<br>and the entrance to the site offices. Updated signage<br>with the NSW Ports logo and contact details have been<br>installed compliant with this condition.   | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

|      |  | the entrance to the site, in a position that is<br>clearly visible to the public, and which clearly<br>indicates the purposes of the sign.   |   |                          |                                 |                           |
|------|--|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6844 | <u>5.3 - Complaints</u><br><u>Procedure</u>                                    | The Proponent shall record details of all<br>complaints received through the means listed<br>under condition 5.2 of this approval in an up-to-<br>date Complaints Register. The Register shall<br>record, but not necessarily be limited to: a) the<br>date and time, where relevant, of the complaint;<br>b) the means by which the complaint was made<br>(telephone, mail or email); c) details of the<br>complainant that were provided, or if no details<br>were provided, a note to that effect; d) the<br>nature of the complaint; e) any action(s) taken by<br>the Proponent in relation to the complaint,<br>including any follow-up contact with the<br>complainant; and f) if no action was taken by the<br>Proponent in relation to the complaint, the<br>reason(s) why no action was taken. The<br>Complaints Register shall be made available for<br>inspection by the Director-General upon request. | A Complaints, Incidents and Non-Conformance Register<br>has been created. One complaint received from ACFS<br>on 14/02/2012 regarding wheel marks in the grass<br>verge outside their compound. This complaint was<br>investigated and although it could not be confirmed to<br>be attributed to the BLB2 project, as a gesture of<br>goodwill the Contractor repaired the wheel marks on<br>15/02/2012.  | 5 - General              | ●2. In<br>Progress              | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 6845 | <u>6.1 - Construction</u><br>Environmental<br>Management Plan                  | Prior to the commencement of construction of<br>the project, the Proponent shall prepare and<br>implement a Construction Environmental<br>Management Plan to outline environmental<br>management practices and procedures to be<br>followed during the construction of the project.<br>The Plan shall be prepared in accordance with<br>Guideline for the Preparation of Environmental<br>Management Plans (DIPNR, 2004).  | Contractor's CEMP prepared and submitted to Sydney<br>Ports on 30/06/11. Revised CEMP submitted on<br>26/07/11, 12/08/11 and 17/08/11 and Sydney Ports'<br>review comments closed. Revised CEMP, with marine<br>mammals procedure, submitted on 26/08/2011 and<br>approved by Sydney Ports on 1/09/2011. CEMP being<br>implemented as required and verified by Sydney Ports'<br>contract management providers and staff. Users works<br>- Terminals CEMP submitted 31/5/13. Revised CEMP<br>with NSW Ports comments provided 5/7/13 and<br>approved 11/7/13. Vopak preliminary CEMP submitted<br>5/7/13. Terminals CEMP updated and finalised<br>(3/9/2013) in line with comments and actions from the<br>Environmental Audit. Vopak associated CEMP<br>documentation (as per Condition 2) submitted on<br>23/10/13 and 25/10/13. | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | Contractor                |
| 6846 | <u>6.2 (a) -</u><br><u>Construction</u><br><u>Traffic</u><br><u>Management</u> | As part of the CEMP for the project, the<br>Proponent shall prepare and implement a<br>Construction Traffic Management Protocol to<br>detail how vehicle movements associated with the   | Contractor's CTMP prepared and submitted to Sydney<br>Ports on 30/06/11. Revised CTMP submitted on<br>26/07/2011. Further revised CTMP submitted on<br>12/08/11 and Sydney Ports' review comments closed  | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | 5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant  | Contractor                |

|      | Protocol  | project will be managed during construction. The<br>Protocol shall specifically address the movement<br>of heavy and/or oversize loads to and from the<br>site, the management of construction traffic and<br>any restrictions to the hours of heavy vehicle<br>movements to avoid road use conflicts with other<br>port users. The Protocol shall detail the expected<br>routes to the site for construction traffic with the<br>intention that all residential areas are avoided  | on 15/08/11. Updated CTMP submitted on 26/10/2011<br>and approved by Sydney Ports on 2/11/2011. CTMP<br>being implemented as required and verified by Sydney<br>Ports' contract management providers and staff. Users<br>works - CEMPs to include CTMP. Terminals CEMP<br>approved 11/7/13. Vopak submitted Traffic<br>Management Plan 23/10/13. Revised TMP was<br>submitted 5/11/13 following NSW Ports review. NSW<br>Ports approved Vopak TMP 7/11/13.   |                          |   |            |
|------|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|------------|
| 6876 | <u>6.2 (b) -</u><br><u>Construction</u><br><u>Water</u><br><u>Management</u><br><u>Protocol</u> | As part of the CEMP for the project, the<br>Proponent shall prepare and implement a<br>Construction Water Management Protocol to<br>outline specific mitigation measures that would be<br>implemented as part of the project to minimise<br>the impact of construction on water quality<br>including piling activities and the handling of<br>chemicals, fuels and concrete. The Protocol shall<br>include the use of appropriate stormwater<br>controls, in accordance with Managing Urban<br>Stormwater: Soils and Construction (Landcom,<br>2004) and shall outline specific measures that will<br>be implemented at the site to avoid sediment-<br>laden stormwater from entering Botany Bay. | Contractor's CEMP prepared and submitted on<br>30/06/2011. Revised CEMP and associated Water<br>Quality ECP submitted on 26/07/11. Further revised<br>Water Quality ECP submitted on 12/08/11 and<br>approved by Sydney Ports on 1/09/11 as part of the<br>CEMP (see Issue 6845). Subsequent comments<br>incorporated into amended Water Quality ECP<br>submitted on 20/10/2011. Updated Water Quality ECP<br>received on 18/06/2012. Water Quality ECP being<br>implemented as required and verified by Sydney Ports'<br>contract management providers and staff. Users works<br>- Requirements included in users CEMPs. Terminals<br>CEMP approved 11/7/13. Vopak Water Management<br>Plan was submitted to NSW Ports on 24/10/13 and<br>approved 25/10/13.  | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant             | Contractor |
| 6877 | <u>6.2 (c) - Acid</u><br><u>Sulfate Soil</u><br><u>Management Plan</u>                          | As part of the CEMP for the project, and where<br>surface excavation is required below 1 metre or<br>where soil testing prior to the commencement of<br>construction identifies the presence of acid sulfate<br>soils, the Proponent shall prepare and implement<br>an Acid Sulfate Soil Management Plan prepared in<br>accordance with guidance provided in Acid Sulfate<br>Soil Manual (Acid Sulfate Soil Management<br>Advisory Committee, 1998).  | Contractor's CEMP prepared and submitted on<br>30/06/2011. Revised CEMP and associated Acid<br>Sulphate Soil ECP submitted on 26/07/11. Further<br>revised Acid Sulphate Soil ECP submitted on 12/08/11<br>and approved by Sydney Ports on 1/09/11 as part of<br>the CEMP (see Issue 6845). In accordance with the<br>ECP, excavation material from the culvert works tested<br>and no ASS present. Two soil samples recovered from<br>the drainage trenches were sent for ASS analysis and<br>verification on 20/12/2011. Users works - Requirements<br>included in user works CEMP. Terminals approved<br>11/7/13 - no excavation identified as being required.<br>Vopak CEMP identified some excavation as required -<br>An ASS management plan was submitted to NSW Ports<br>23/10/13 and approved 25/10/13. | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ● <sub>5.</sub><br>Completed -<br>compliant | Contractor |
| 6878 | <u>6.2 (d) -</u><br><u>Construction</u>   | As part of the CEMP for the project, the<br>Proponent shall prepare and implement a   | A Construction Noise Environmental Control Plan (Noise ECP) has been prepared and submitted to the DP&I on   | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ● <sub>5.</sub><br>Completed -              | Contractor |

|      | <u>Noise</u><br><u>Management Plan</u>                                   | Construction Noise Management Plan to outline<br>construction noise mitigation, monitoring and<br>management measures to be implemented to<br>minimise noise impacts during construction of the<br>project. The Plan shall include, but not necessarily<br>be limited to: i) details of construction activities<br>and a schedule for construction works; ii)<br>identification of construction activities that have<br>the potential to generate noise and/ or vibration<br>impacts on surrounding land uses, particularly<br>residential areas; iii) where the relevant<br>construction noise goals contained in the Noise<br>Management Guideline – Construction Noise<br>(formerly published as Chapter 171 of the<br>Environmental Noise Control Manual) are<br>predicted to be exceeded at sensitive receivers,<br>provision for the application of all practicable and<br>reasonable noise mitigation measures to seek to<br>achieve the relevant construction noise goals; iv)<br>procedures for notifying residents of construction<br>activities that are likely to effect their noise and<br>vibration amenity, as well as procedures for<br>dealing with and responding to noise complaints;<br>and v) a description of how the effectiveness of<br>these actions and measures would be monitored<br>during the proposed works, clearly indicating how<br>often this monitoring would be conducted, how<br>the results of this monitoring would be recorded;<br>and, if any non-compliance is detected. | 19 August 2011. The Noise ECP fulfills the requirements<br>of CoA 6.2(d) as well as the requirements of the letter<br>from DP&I to Sydney Ports (24/12/10). The Noise ECP<br>has been incorporated into the project's CEMP. A letter<br>from DP&I (14/10/11) confirms that the Noise ECP<br>meets the requirements of CoA 6.2d and that it has<br>been incorporated into the project's CEMP as required.<br>The Contractor commenced noise surveys on<br>24/10/2011 in accordance with the ECP. Piling<br>commenced on 2/12/2011. Sound level monitoring<br>carried out in accordance with the ECP indicates piling<br>works were inaudible at all four monitoring receptors<br>and dominant noise was external environmental. The<br>ECP was updated (dated 27/03/12) to reflect the<br>current noise management levels and the updated<br>version was provided to DP&I for their records on<br>17/04/12. Piling installation completed on 26/04/2012.<br>Users works - Requirements to be included in users<br>CEMP. Terminals approved 11/7/13. Vopak Noise<br>Management Plan submitted 23/10/13. Revised NMP<br>submitted 5/11/13. NSW Ports approved 7/11/13. |                         | compliant                       |                   |
|------|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 6879 | <u>6.3 - Operation</u><br><u>Environmental</u><br><u>Management Plan</u> | Prior to the commencement of operation of the<br>project, the Proponent shall prepare and submit<br>for the approval of the Director-General an<br>Operation Environmental Management Plan to<br>detail an environmental management framework,<br>practices and procedures to be followed during<br>the operation of the project. The Plan shall be<br>consistent with the Department's Guideline for<br>the Preparation of Environmental Management<br>Plans (DIPNR 2004), and shall include, but not<br>necessarily be limited to: a) a description of all<br>activities to be undertaken on the site during<br>operation of the project; b) statutory and other<br>obligations that the Proponent is required to fulfil  | NSW Ports prepared an OEMP and submitted to DP&I<br>for approval on 13/09/13. DP&I requested amendments<br>to the documents as per the email received on 2/10/13.<br>The OEMP was also provided to Randwick City Council<br>and EPA on 25/09/13. EPA requested that the final<br>OEMP be provided for their records. OEMP sent to EPA<br>on 7/11/13. Randwick City Council sent a letter<br>response 17/10/13 outlining their requirements. The<br>letter was forwarded to Ingrid Illias of DP&I and<br>included justification that the revised OEMP adequately<br>addressed Council's requirements. The revised OEMP<br>was submitted to DP&I 16/10/13 and approval from<br>DPI&I was received 25/10/13. The OEMP has been<br>uploaded to the NSW Ports website.   | 2 - Pre-<br>operational | ●5.<br>Completed -<br>compliant | NSW Ports / Users |

|      |  | during operation, including all approvals,<br>consultations and agreements required from<br>authorities and other stakeholders, and key<br>legislation and policies; c) specific consideration<br>of measures to address any requirements of<br>Council and the DECC during operation; d) details<br>of how the environmental performance of<br>operations will be monitored, and what actions<br>will be taken to address identified adverse<br>environmental impacts; e) a description of the<br>roles and responsibilities for all relevant<br>employees involved in the operation of the<br>project and a program for how these employees<br>will be trained in responsibilities identified in the<br>plan; and f) complaints handling procedures to be<br>applied during operation of the project (conditions<br>5.2 and condition 5.3 of this approval). |   |                          |                             |                           |
|------|--|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6880 | 7.1 - Incident<br>Reporting  | The Proponent shall notify the Director-General<br>of any incident with actual or potential significant<br>off-site impacts on people or the biophysical<br>environment within 12 hours of becoming aware<br>of the incident. The Proponent shall provide full<br>written details of the incident to the Director-<br>General within 24 hours of any incident or<br>potential incident occurring. A further detailed<br>report shall be prepared and submitted following<br>investigations of the causes and identification of<br>necessary additional preventive measures. The<br>detailed report is to be submitted to the Director-<br>General no later than 14 days after the incident<br>or potential incident.   | An incident register has been created and the requirements of this condition are noted.   | 5 - General              | 2. In<br>Progress           | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 7449 | <u>DoP Letter -</u><br>22/12/10 - Pile<br><u>Vibration</u><br><u>Management Plan</u> | A Pile Vibration Management Plan is to be<br>prepared and implemented as a requirement of<br>the Director General agreeing to the use of driven<br>piles. The Plan is to be approved by the Director -<br>General prior to piling works and will be<br>incorporated into the CEMP (CoA 6.1). The<br>changes in construction methodology shall also be<br>considered, as appropriate, within the Hazard and<br>Risk studies prepared under CoA 2.1. The Plan<br>shall be prepared in consultation with Elgas and<br>include management measures to control  | Pile Vibration Management Plan (PVMP) submitted to<br>the DG 28/03/2011. DoP subsequently queried whether<br>the duration of piling was considered in the preparation<br>of the PVMP and asked for the resolution of the<br>geophones. This information was submitted to Lilia<br>Donkova (NSW Planning & Infrastructure) in an email<br>dated 3/5/11. An email response from Ingrid Ilias of<br>DP&I on 4 May 2011 requested additional information<br>with regard to the PVMP. Letter with additional<br>information provided to DP&I 19 May 2011. As<br>requested by DP&I in an email dated 24 May 2011, the | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●8.<br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

|      |   | vibration to acceptable limits and to protect<br>surrounding port infrastructure (including the<br>integrity of the Elgas LPG Cavern). This shall<br>include the identification of vibration level criteria<br>and a Pile Vibration Monitoring System.  | GHD Report "BLB2 Piling and Vopak Terminal Sydney,<br>Pile Vibration Analyses and Assessments, May 2011"<br>was supplied on 27 May 2011. DP&I approval for the<br>PVMP granted via a letter, dated 30 May 2011. Letter<br>received from DP&I, dated 16 June 2011, indicating<br>that they are satisfied that the final piling methodology<br>and associated environmental management has been<br>adequately addressed in other studies and reports and<br>does not need to be further considered in the Hazard<br>and Risk studies under CoA 2.1. The PVMP has been<br>incorporated into the CEMP. Installation of the Vibration<br>Monitoring System is complete and background<br>vibration monitoring commenced in June 2011 (see<br>Issue 8167). Vibration monitoring has been ongoing<br>during piling and there have been no exceedances of<br>the prescribed set levels. Piling installation completed<br>26/04/2012. |                          |                             |                           |
|------|---|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 7591 | DoP Letter -<br>24/12/10 -<br>Construction<br><u>Noise</u><br>Management Plan | The Construction Noise Management Plan (re<br>CoA 6.2d) must include clear commitments in<br>relation to the duration of driven piling activities,<br>the provision of respite periods, and mitigation<br>measures in response to noise criteria<br>exceedances. The CNMP must be submitted to the<br>Department of Planning prior to the<br>commencement of works.   | A Construction Noise Environmental Control Plan (Noise<br>ECP) has been prepared and submitted to the DP&I on<br>19 August 2011 (prior to commencement of works).<br>The Noise ECP fulfills the requirements of CoA 6.2(d) as<br>well as the requirements of the letter from DP&I to<br>Sydney Ports (24/12/10). The Noise ECP has been<br>incorporated into the project's CEMP. A letter from DP&I<br>(14/10/11) confirms that the Noise ECP meets the<br>requirements of CoA 6.2d and that it has been<br>incorporated into the project's CEMP as required.  | 4 - Pre-<br>construction | ●8.<br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |
| 8167 | DP&I Letter -<br>30/5/11 - Pile<br>Vibration<br>Management Plan<br>approval   | Pile driving activities are to be done in<br>accordance with the management measures<br>outlined in the Pile Vibration Management Plan.<br>Pile vibrations are to be monitored in accordance<br>with the Pile Vibration Monitoring System and an<br>additional probe is to be used to monitor<br>vibrations at the adjacent Vopak storage tanks. A<br>survey of the current condition of the Vopak<br>storage tanks shall be undertaken prior to the<br>commencement of piling works. | Pile Vibration Monitoring System has been installed and<br>commenced recording background data in June 2011.<br>Surveys of the Vopak tanks commenced in June 2011.<br>Baseline surveys completed in September 2011.<br>Vibration monitoring during piling is ongoing and there<br>have been no exceedances of the prescribed set levels.<br>The Vopak tanks levels are being surveyed regularly<br>and there has been no exceedance of the maximum<br>settlement criteria. Piling installation completed on<br>26/04/2012.   | 3 -<br>Construction      | ●8.<br>Reviewed -<br>Closed | NSW Ports /<br>Contractor |

4. Appendix B – Vopak Compliance Documentation



BLB2 – Compliance Tracking Report 5 – November 2013 Page **27** of **4** 

|      |  | PINNACLE R  | ISK MANAGE    | MENT - HAZ  | OP RECORD SH   | EET  |      |      |
|------|--|---|---------------|---|--|--|------|------|
| PROJ | PROJECT: Vopak Terminal Sydney, BLB2<br>Wharflines |   | TEAM MEMBERS: | WP: Steven Cowgill, L<br>Vopak: Declan Kearne<br>Strautins, Andrew Ske<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve<br>SPC: Jim Pullin | es Cohen<br>ey, Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric<br>eet, Trent Gearside, Syed<br>e Bates   | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |      |      |
| SYST | EM: Marine Lo                                      | Marine Loading Arms to Manifold LEADER: Dean Shewring                 |               |   |  |  |      |      |
| DRAV | /ING: 401015-00<br>003 Rev E                       | 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and MINUTES BY: Shree Pawar<br>003 Rev E |               |   |  |  |      |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS  | POSSIBLE CAUSES   | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS  | ACTION RECOMMEN  | IDED   | BY   | DONE |
| 1.   | General<br>Discussion                              |   |               |   | Include in the Operational pro-<br>need to nitrogen leak test the<br>to transfer (i.e. the QCDC con-<br>the ship).<br>Check with the MLA supplier<br>torqueing device is necessary<br>(this may negate the need for<br>leak test)<br>QCDC is mechanically opera<br>torqueing of flange bolting re-       | ocedure the<br>MLA prior<br>nnection to<br>if a<br>y / available<br>r a nitrogen<br>ted – no<br>quired – | SB   | DONE |
| 2.   | 2. General<br>Discussion                           |   |               |   | Nitrogen pressure test MANE   ensure proper seal   The following nodes are not i   the HAZOP study:   - Product to product pi   - Hose connection for   import/export bypass   case of MLA failure   - Resting the line in pr   this is not allowable b   current SPC conditio   - B3-B1 transfers inclu | DATORY to<br>ncluded in<br>gging<br>ship<br>ing MLA in<br>oduct as<br>by the<br>ns<br>iding              | Note |      |

|  |   | PINNACLE F                             | RISK MANAGE   | MENT - HAZ  | OP RECORD SH   | EET   |    |      |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|----|------|
| PROJ   | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines           | ninal Sydney, BLB2                     | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Steven Cowgill, L<br>Vopak: Declan Kearne<br>Strautins, Andrew Ske<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve<br>SPC: Jim Pullin | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |   |    |      |
| SYST   | SYSTEM: Marine Loading Arms to Manifold |  | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring   |  |   |    |      |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and<br>003 Rev E |   |  | d MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar   |  |   |    |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                             | POSSIBLE CAUSES                        | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING  | ACTION RECOMMEN  | DED   | BY | DONE |
|  |   |  |   | SAFEGUARDS  |  |   |    |      |
| 3.   | General<br>Discussion                   |  |   |   | Mark up the P&ID to show the<br>the MLA vendor package (e.g<br>point vacuum break)   | e details of<br>g. high                               | LC | DONE |
| 4.   | General<br>Discussion                   |  |   |   | Valves V8127 and V8157 are<br>connection for the ship transf<br>should the MLA fail. This mod<br>operation will require a forma<br>assessment prior to its use.    | e for future<br>er hose<br>de of<br>I risk            | SB | DONE |
| 5.   | General<br>Discussion                   |  |   |   | Show LO on valves V2265 ar<br>and ensure this requirement<br>for all other TSV isolation val   | nd V2268<br>is provided<br>ves                        | LC | DONE |
| 6.   | General<br>Discussion                   |  |   |   | If the low point drain valve V2<br>required for pipeline draining<br>layout and needs review, the<br>(it is another potential leak po<br>V402 can be used instead) | 2260 is not<br>following a<br>n delete it<br>pint and | LC | DONE |
| 7.   | High Flow /<br>High Level               | MLA high point<br>vacuum break failure | Loss of containment of<br>product at height.<br>Potential environmental<br>impact and fire if ignited |   | Pipe the outlet from the MLA<br>breaker to grade (cap and po<br>outlet within the contained are  | vacuum<br>sition the<br>ea)                           | TG | DONE |

|      |  | PINNACLE F  | RISK MANAGE  | MENT - HAZ   | OP RECORD SH   | EET                                   |                   |      |
|------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| PROJ | PROJECT: Vopak Terminal Sydney, BLB2<br>Wharflines     |   | TEAM MEMBERS:  | WP: Steven Cowgill, Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Declan Kearney, Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric<br>Strautins, Andrew Skeet, Trent Gearside, Syed<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve Bates<br>SPC: Jim Pullin |  | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013 |                   |      |
| SYST | SYSTEM: Marine Loading Arms to Manifold                |   | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring  |  |                                       |                   |      |
| DRAW | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and<br>003 Rev E |   | d MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar  |  |                                       |                   |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS  | POSSIBLE CAUSES   | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMEN  | IDED                                  | BY                | DONE |
| 8.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                              | V8111 passing or left<br>open and pig trap<br>door not fully closed.<br>This can also occur<br>at the terminal pig<br>receiver                                      | Loss of containment of<br>product from pig trap.<br>Potential for fire if<br>ignited   | Emergency response<br>and operating<br>procedures,<br>containment, berth high<br>pressure detection,<br>CCTV, ESV, ship radio  | Perform an assessment on th<br>adequacy of the safeguards f<br>scenario    | ne<br>for this                        | SB                | DONE |
| 9.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                              | Leaks from the<br>sample points, drains<br>and vents  | Loss of containment and fire if ignited  |  | Finalise the requirement for c<br>vent/drain valves and sample             | apping of points                      | ES                | DONE |
| 10.  | High Flow /<br>High Level                              | Closure of XV8105,<br>manual valves in the<br>wharf lines or the<br>motorised valves at<br>inlet manifold and<br>ESD's activated with<br>the tank valves<br>closing | Potential for hammer<br>leading to loss of<br>containment from the<br>wharfline and fire if<br>ignited   | Surge analysis to be<br>performed, NRV<br>installed to prevent<br>phase separation<br>Note that the surge<br>analysis is to be done<br>for export as well.                           | No further action required   |                                       | LC                | DONE |
| 11.  | High Flow /<br>High Level                              | Loss of containment<br>from other berth<br>users when wharf<br>lines 4 and 5 are<br>resting in nitrogen   | Potential for damage to<br>wharf lines 4 and 5 (e.g.<br>loss of containment of<br>ethylene could cause<br>low temperature<br>embrittlement of the<br>carbon steel pipelines) |  | VOPAK-SPC to coordinate to<br>the risks from other berth use<br>acceptable | ensure<br>ers are                     | TG/Neil<br>Trillo | DONE |

|       | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |  |   |   |   |   |    |      |  |  |  |
|-------|---|--|---|---|---|---|----|------|--|--|--|
| PROJ  | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines                 | ninal Sydney, BLB2   | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Steven Cowgill, Les<br>Vopak: Declan Kearney,<br>Strautins, Andrew Skeet<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve I<br>SPC: Jim Pullin   | s Cohen<br>, Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric<br>t, Trent Gearside, Syed<br>Bates   | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013           |    |      |  |  |  |
| SYSTI | EM: Marine Loa                                | ding Arms to Manifold  | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring   |   |   |    |      |  |  |  |
| DRAW  | /ING: 401015-001<br>003 Rev E                 | 26-PR-PID-001, 002 and   | MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar   |   |   |    |      |  |  |  |
| No.   | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES  | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING  | ACTION RECOMMEN   | DED   | BY | DONE |  |  |  |
|       |   |  |   | SAFEGUARDS  |   |   |    |      |  |  |  |
| 12.   | Reverse Flow                                  | Both wharf line NRV<br>bypass valves left<br>open                              | Potential to drain the<br>tank back to the ship.<br>Potential for phase<br>separation to be<br>collapsed by reverse<br>flow and hence pipe<br>damage      | Bypass valves to be locked closed.  | VOPAK to review the locked<br>valve philosophy. Should a se<br>be used instead of a lock due<br>of corrosion causing the locks<br>difficult to open<br>Need to change handle type | closed<br>ealed valve<br>to the risk<br>s to be | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 13.   | Reverse Flow                                  | When pumping from<br>B1 to B3 manifold<br>and V2272 is<br>passing or left open | Product reverse flow<br>into the offline BLB2<br>wharf line and hence<br>contamination of the<br>next product when<br>initially transferring from<br>BLB2 | Procedural - V2272<br>closed when not<br>transferring from BLB2,<br>surveyor checks on the<br>initial transfer, initial<br>quantity sent to slops<br>tank, B1-B3 inlet<br>manifolds drained prior<br>to ship transfers, the<br>offline wharflines rest<br>under nitrogen pressure | VOPAK to assess the adequa<br>these existing safeguards   | acy of  | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 14.   | High Pressure                                 | Valve in the wharf<br>line isolated (e.g.<br>V8118)                            | When the trapped<br>product is heated by the<br>sun, potential for<br>thermal overpressure<br>and loss of containment                                     | Procedures to drain<br>when isolating product   | Review the need for thermal isolation valves in the wharflin  | relief for all<br>nes                           | ES | DONE |  |  |  |

|      |   | PINNACLE F  | RISK MANAGE   | MENT - HAZ  | ZOP RECORD SH   | EET   |                   |      |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|------|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines   | ninal Sydney, BLB2  | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Steven Cowgill, L<br>Vopak: Declan Kearne<br>Strautins, Andrew Ske<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Stev | Les Cohen<br>ey, Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric<br>eet, Trent Gearside, Syed<br>e Bates   | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G  |                   |      |
|      |   |   |   | SPC. Jill Pullin  |   | 6/11/2013   |                   |      |
| SYST | SYSTEM: Marine Loading Arms to Manifold   |   | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring   |   |   |                   |      |
| DRAW | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and 003 Rev E                             |   | d MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar   |   |   |                   |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS   | POSSIBLE CAUSES   | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING  | ACTION RECOMMEN   | IDED  | BY                | DONE |
|      |   |   |   | SAFEGUARDS  |   |   |                   |      |
| 15.  | High Pressure   | Potential for ships to<br>deliver flow at 10-12<br>bar g pressure                               | Potential to exceed the existing design pressure of the wharf lines               |   | Review the wharf line design<br>(taking into consideration the<br>surge study results)  | pressure<br>pipeline  | LC                | DONE |
| 16.  | High Pressure   | V2264 will be closed<br>when pigging the line   | Given the high pressure<br>drop through the pig<br>receiver, TRV 2267 may<br>open |   | Review the pressure differen<br>point for the thermal relief val<br>should it be higher than 50 kl<br>Also, the thermal relief valves<br>consistent with the existing d<br>suitable for service (i.e. non-l<br>atmosphere type) | tial set<br>lve V2267 –<br>Pa?<br>s are to be<br>esign and<br>eaking to | LC                | DONE |
| 17.  | Impurities  | Residual product left<br>in low points, dead<br>legs, pig traps                                 | Potential to put product<br>out of specification (e.g.<br>jet fuel)               |   | Review the current piping lay<br>to ensure that all low points a<br>legs are minimised and can b<br>Also, identify the appropriate<br>points for transfer from BLB2<br>and vice versa   | out design<br>and dead<br>be drained.<br>low drain<br>to BLB1           | ES                | DONE |
| 18.  | Impurities  | Air connected from<br>another berth user to<br>wharf lines 4 and 5                              | Explosion hazard due to air fuel mixture  |   | Confirm dissimilar couplings<br>coded lines for air and nitroge<br>at the berth   | and colour<br>en systems  | TG/Neil<br>Trillo | DONE |
| 19.  | Change in<br>Composition or<br>Concentration /<br>Two-Phase Flow<br>/ Reactions | Potential to put<br>product off<br>specification if<br>product pigging is<br>used in the future |   |   | Review if product to product<br>required. If so, review the de<br>develop appropriate procedu<br>Not required   | pigging is<br>sign and<br>res   | AS                | DONE |

|      |   | PINNACLE F   | RISK MANAGE   | MENT - HAZO  | OP RECORD SH   | EET  |    |      |
|------|---|--|---|--|--|--|----|------|
| PROJ | PROJECT: Vopak Terminal Sydney, BLB2<br>Wharflines  |  | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Steven Cowgill, Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Declan Kearney, Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric<br>Strautins, Andrew Skeet, Trent Gearside, Syed<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve Bates<br>SPC: Jim Pullin |  | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |    |      |
| SYST | EM: Marine Loa                                      | ding Arms to Manifold                                | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring  |  |  |    |      |
| DRAW | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and 003 Rev E |  | d MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar  |  |  |    |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS   | POSSIBLE CAUSES                                      | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING   | ACTION RECOMMENDED   |  | BY | DONE |
|      |   |  |   | SAFEGUARDS   |  |  |    |      |
| 20.  | Testing   | Nitrogen leak testing<br>of the MLA                  | If higher than required<br>pressure is supplied<br>there is a higher<br>potential for damage<br>and hence leakage. If |  | Review the nitrogen supply p<br>leak testing and whether pres<br>reduction on the utility supply<br>required.  | ew the nitrogen supply pressure for<br>testing and whether pressure<br>ction on the utility supply line is<br>red. |    | DONE |
|      |   |  | lower than required<br>pressure, there is the<br>potential for inadequate<br>leakage testing                          |  | Install a lockable isolation val<br>nitrogen supply piping on the<br>meet SPC requirements (e.g.<br>nitrogen being released at the<br>being an asphyxiation hazard                         | ve on the<br>valve pit to<br>to prevent<br>berth and   | LC | DONE |
| 21.  | Plant Items   |  |   |  | Confirm that each MLA can b individually isolated for maint  | e<br>enance  | TG | DONE |
| 22.  | Plant Items   |  |   |  | Change the spacer in the what<br>the MLAs to a spectacle plate<br>of swinging. The spectacle p<br>be stainless steel given the p<br>corrosion from the marine en                           | arflines at<br>ofor ease<br>late should<br>otential for<br>vironment   | LC | DONE |
| 23.  | Electrical  | Nitrogen hose<br>connected to the<br>MLA drain valve | Potential for static<br>accumulation after the<br>insulation joints on any<br>non-earthed<br>components               | All nitrogen hoses at the<br>berth are electrically<br>conductive  | Note: If the MLA shipside low<br>drain is used for draining bac<br>then insulate the hoses to ele<br>isolate the berth equipment fr<br>ship and the hoses are to ne<br>conductive to shore | v point<br>k to shore<br>ctrically<br>om the<br>electrically   | SB | DONE |

|   | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |   |   |   |  |  |    |      |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|----|------|--|--|--|
| PROJI   | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines                 | ninal Sydney, BLB2  | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Steven Cowgill, Les<br>Vopak: Declan Kearney<br>Strautins, Andrew Skee<br>Atiquddin Qadri, Steve I<br>SPC: Jim Pullin   | DATE: 03/04/13<br>REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |  |    |      |  |  |  |
| SYST  | EM: Marine Loa                                | ding Arms to Manifold   | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring   |  |  |    |      |  |  |  |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and 003 Rev E |   |   | MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar   |  |  |    |      |  |  |  |
| No.   | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES   | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS  | ACTION RECOMMENDED   |  | BY | DONE |  |  |  |
| 24.   | Electrical                                    | Static accumulation   | Potential source of ignition  | Initial flow to be<br>restricted to less than<br>1m/s until the internal<br>floating roof (IFR) is<br>floating.<br>Sample bottle filling<br>should also be less than<br>1 m/s | No further action required   |  | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 25.   | Electrical                                    | Lightning   | Potential hazard to<br>personnel, equipment<br>damage and source of<br>ignition | Use of storm tracker<br>professional software,<br>all equipment to be<br>bonded and earthed   | Update the shipping procedur<br>include actions to take when<br>approaching.   | re to<br>lightning is                  | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |   | Ensure there is adequate sur<br>protection on the MLA and ot<br>electronics  | ge<br>her                              | TG | DONE |  |  |  |
| 26.   | Instruments                                   | ESD at BLB1 /BLB 2<br>or vice versa                                       |   |   | Perform a review of the emer<br>shutdown requirements when<br>an emergency at one BLB - s<br>other BLB be shut down as w | gency<br>there is<br>hould the<br>ell? | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 27.   | Instruments                                   | Consistency of<br>maintenance and<br>operational use of<br>the pig signal |   |   | VOPAK to supply the preferre<br>signal type  | ed pig                                 | ES | DONE |  |  |  |

|      |   | PINNACLE R         | ISK MANAGE    | EMENT - HAZ  | ZOP RECORD SH  | EET  |      |      |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|------|------|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines                     | ninal Sydney, BLB2 | TEAM MEMBERS: | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |  | DATE: 26/02/13   |      |      |
| SYST | EM: Pigging of                                    | the Wharflines     | LEADER:       | Dean Shewring  |  | REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |      |      |
| DRAV | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |                    | MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar  |  |  |      |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                                       | POSSIBLE CAUSES    | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING   | EXISTING ACTION RECOMMEND  |  | BY   | DONE |
|      |   |                    |               | SAFEGUARDS   |  |  |      |      |
| 28.  | General<br>Discussion                             |                    |               |  | All pigging following an export is<br>preferentially from the berth to terminal<br>to avoid nitrogen blow-through to the<br>ship and to prevent additional use of<br>hoses when pumping the liquid in the<br>MLA to the ship.<br>Pigging activities, whether be it from the<br>terminal to the berth or vice versa, are to<br>be identical |  | Note |      |
| 29.  | General<br>Discussion                             |                    |               |  | On PI 8107, provide means to<br>for depressurising the pig trap<br>pig can be inserted).<br>Note: Apply any common act<br>section (such as this action) to<br>traps  | o vent (e.g.<br>p so that a<br>ions in this<br>to both pig                       | LC   | DONE |
| 30.  | General<br>Discussion                             |                    |               |  | On the pig launcher and rece<br>provide a PI and sampling va<br>rear end of the barrel (for the<br>confirm the trap is depressuri<br>opening).<br>Preference is to the install se<br>PI arrangement as per Darwi<br>review required  | iver,<br>lve at the<br>operator to<br>ised prior to<br>parate vent<br>n. Further | LC   | DONE |

|      | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET  |  |  |   |  |   |        |      |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--------|------|--|--|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines  | ninal Sydney, BLB2                                       | TEAM MEMBERS:  | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates  |  | DATE: 26  | /02/13 |      |  |  |
| SYST | EM: Pigging of   | the Wharflines   | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring   |  | REV: G<br>6/11/2013   |        |      |  |  |
| DRAW | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D  |  | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar   |  |   |        |      |  |  |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS POSSIBLE CAUSES CONSEQUENCES EXISTING ACTION REC   SAFEGUARDS SAFEGUARDS SAFEGUARDS SAFEGUARDS |  | ACTION RECOMMEN  | IDED  | BY   | DONE  |        |      |  |  |
| 31.  | General<br>Discussion  |  |  |   | On the pig launcher and rece<br>ensure that a vent and drain a<br>provided at either end of the t  | iver,<br>are<br>trap  | SB     | DONE |  |  |
| 32.  | General<br>Discussion  |  |  |   | Currently pig trap 8104 barrel<br>shown hard piped to the berth<br>pump. This arrangement ma<br>provide the operators with po<br>that the trap is empty. Furthe<br>required to confirm that the tra-<br>prior to opening the door (e.g<br>drain) | I drain is<br>n drainage<br>y not<br>sitive proof<br>er review is<br>ap is empty<br>. low point | SB     | DONE |  |  |
| 33.  | General<br>Discussion  |  |  |   | SPC require a manual and lo<br>isolation valve at the shore m<br>the nitrogen supply   | ckable<br>anifold on  | LC     | DONE |  |  |
| 34.  | General<br>Discussion  |  |  |   | V2264 is to be minimum dista<br>barred Tee to avoid a dead le<br>requires draining or can lead<br>contamination.<br>This applies to V8118 at the b<br>well   | ance to the<br>eak which<br>to product<br>perth as  | LC     | DONE |  |  |
| 35.  | High Flow /<br>High Level  | Corrosion of the<br>nitrogen supply pipe<br>in a culvert | Release of nitrogen into<br>a confined space (i.e.<br>asphyxiation hazard) | Pipe lies above the<br>water level, painted<br>pipe, fully welded,<br>routine testing and<br>inspection, CSE risk<br>assessment /<br>procedures | Include in the existing confine<br>risk register the new culverts<br>the need for signage  | ed space<br>including   | DK     | DONE |  |  |

|   | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |  |   |  |   |  |    |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|----|---|--|--|--|
| PROJ  | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines                 | ninal Sydney, BLB2   | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |   | DATE: 26/02/13   |    |   |  |  |  |
| SYST  | SYSTEM: Pigging of the Wharflines             |  | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring  |   | REV: G<br>6/11/2013                                    |    |   |  |  |  |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |   | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar   |  | 0/11/2013   |  |    |   |  |  |  |
| No.   | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES  | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING   | ACTION RECOMMEN   | DED  | BY | DONE  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   | SAFEGUARDS   |   |  |    |   |  |  |  |
| 36.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | PCV8115 fails open   | Pig colliding against the door with high velocity at the receiver end.  | Operator monitoring<br>pressure at either end of<br>the pipeline,<br>maintenance program                 | Confirm that the pig trap door<br>adequately designed to withs<br>impact with 7 bar upstream p  | <sup>-</sup> is<br>tand a pig<br>ressure.              | TG | DONE  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  | Potential for nitrogen to<br>bypass the pig and blow<br>through to the tanks and<br>causing IFR damage  |  | For export pigging to the bert<br>means to prevent reverse flow<br>nitrogen supply system and to<br>pressure to 4 bar or less. Th<br>via a temporary connection | h, provide<br>w into the<br>o limit the<br>is could be | LC | DONE  |  |  |  |
| 37.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Nitrogen flows to the<br>pig trap when the<br>operator opens the<br>door | Asphyxiation hazard   | Procedures require<br>nitrogen to be<br>disconnected when not<br>launching a pig                         | No further action required  |  | SB | N/A-  |  |  |  |
| 38.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Kicker valve passes /<br>fails   | Potential for product<br>entering the pig trap<br>during import / export  | Very small liquid volume   | Operating procedures to requere draining of the barrel prior to nitrogen into the barrel  | uire<br>flowing  | SB | N/A   |  |  |  |
| 39.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | V8111 and V8117<br>open during ship<br>discharge                         | Potential to launch the<br>pig which may block the<br>pig receiver barred tee<br>and hence dead head<br>the ship's pumps (i.e.<br>potential for hammer) | Supervisory checks on<br>the valving<br>arrangements prior to<br>discharge                               | Further review of this scenari<br>to determine if the existing sa<br>are adequate.<br>This applies to export pigging  | o required<br>Ifeguards<br>I as well                   | SB | Add to<br>procedur<br>es –how<br>kicker<br>valve<br>works |  |  |  |

|   | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |   |   |  |   |   |    |              |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|----|--------------|--|--|--|
| PROJ  | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines                 | minal Sydney, BLB2                                | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |   | DATE: 26/02/13  |    |              |  |  |  |
| SYSTI   | EM: Pigging of                                | the Wharflines                                    | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |   | EV: G<br>11/2013  |    |              |  |  |  |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |   | MINUTES BY:                                       | Shree Pawar   |  |   |   |    |              |  |  |  |
| No.   | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES                                   | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMENDED  |   | BY | DONE         |  |  |  |
| 40.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Pig chamber bypass valve V2264 open.              | Nitrogen flow to tank<br>causing damage to the<br>IFR from import pigging | Procedural and supervisory checks  | Review the need for further safeguards<br>for this scenario, e.g. Castell keys on<br>valves V2252 and V2264.  |   | SB | DONE         |  |  |  |
|   |   | V8118 open  | For export pigging,<br>potential for nitrogen<br>inflow to the ship       |  | As the ship vapour control sy<br>unknown, the consequences<br>event are to be determined w<br>system design is finalised  | the ship vapour control system is<br>known, the consequences of this<br>ent are to be determined when the<br>stem design is finalised |    | NO<br>ACTION |  |  |  |
| 41.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Pig not inserted into<br>the launching<br>chamber | During import, nitrogen<br>flow to the tank causing<br>damage to the IFR. | Procedural, pig signal   | Ensure that the pigging proce<br>highlights the need for this ste<br>supervisory checks are includ  | edure<br>ep and<br>led  | SB | DONE         |  |  |  |
|   |   |   | flow to ship causing<br>damage / emissions                                |  | DISCHARGE LEG TO CHEC   | K FOR   |    |              |  |  |  |
| 42.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Door not adequately sealed                        | Loss of containment<br>during import / pigging                            | Operator monitoring<br>process, nitrogen<br>pressure test during line<br>up for terminal trap            | Include in the export procedu for a nitrogen leak test of the   | re the need<br>traps  | SB | DONE         |  |  |  |
| 43.   | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Product entering the depressurising chamber       | Overflow out of<br>depressurising<br>chamber, i.e. fire hazard            | Depressurising chamber<br>in a bunded area   | Review the safeguards for thi<br>further (e.g. provide level mea<br>for the depressurising chamb<br>ability to self-drain) NEED TC<br>MAGNETIC LEVEL GAUGE<br>TANKS | s design<br>asurement<br>er or the<br>ADD<br>TO THE   | SB | DONE         |  |  |  |

|       | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET     |                    |  |  |  |  |              |                |      |  |  |
|-------|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--------------|----------------|------|--|--|
| PROJ  | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines                     | ninal Sydney, BLB2 | TEAM MEMBERS:  | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |  | DATE: 26   | /02/13       | 3              |      |  |  |
| SYSTI | EM: Pigging of t                                  | he Wharflines      | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring  | REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |  |              |                |      |  |  |
| DRAW  | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |                    | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar  |  |  |              |                |      |  |  |
| No.   | No. GUIDE WORDS POSSIBLE CAUSES                   |                    | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMEN  | DED  | В            | Υ              | DONE |  |  |
| 44.   | Zero Flow /<br>Empty                              | Stuck pig          | IFR damage.<br>When using hydraulic<br>clearing there is a<br>potential for the pig to<br>damage the trap door<br>due to high momentum |  | Further review is required for<br>following pig clearing options:<br>1. Revise the existing Site B p<br>to mitigate the high volumes f<br>nitrogen to IFR's. For export,<br>result in high nitrogen flows to<br>and hence emissions/damage<br>2. Confirm that the new pig tra<br>sufficiently sized to hold two p<br>the section between the two p<br>depressurised in the trap.<br>Further review is required as<br>the second pig can be stalled<br>pig (e.g. at the upstream rece<br>and hence the operator will be<br>open/close the valve)<br>3. Hydraulic clearing does not<br>second pig (i.e. venting of nitr<br>possible). Also, review if hydr<br>clearing poses any greater ris<br>the changes in the pig chamb<br>For hydraulic clearing, review<br>for PSV V2267 to become hydr<br>overpressure protection as we<br>Export pigging requires a bi-d<br>pig (again preference if to alw<br>the terminal as above) | the<br>procedure<br>lows of<br>this can<br>o the ship<br>e as above.<br>ap will be<br>oigs and<br>oigs can be<br>to whether<br>by the first<br>viver valve<br>e unable to<br>t include a<br>rogen is<br>aulic<br>sk due to<br>ber design.<br>the need<br>draulic<br>ell. | 1.   2.   3. | SB<br>TG<br>TG | DONE |  |  |

|      |   | PINNACLE F  | RISK MANAGE  | MENT - HAZ             | OP RECORD SH   | EET   |    |      |
|------|---|---|--|------------------------|--|---|----|------|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines                     | erminal Sydney, BLB2<br>es  | 3LB2 TEAM MEMBERS: WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates          |                        | DATE: 26/02/13   |   |    |      |
| SYST | EM: Pigging of                                    | of the Wharflines   | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring          | REV: G<br>6/11/2013  |   |    |      |
| DRAV | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |   | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar            |  |   |    |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                                       | POSSIBLE CAUSES   | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS | ACTION RECOMMENDED   |   | BY | DONE |
| 45.  | Reverse Flow                                      | V8117 passing<br>during product<br>transfer                                   | Pig will get pushed back<br>against the trap door<br>and hence maybe<br>difficult to launch or get<br>damaged                        |                        | Perform a design review of th<br>to ensure the pig can be laun<br>this scenario (e.g. connect the<br>to the door immediately behin<br>or use a spacer as per the Da<br>design) | e pig trap<br>ched for<br>e nitrogen<br>id the pig<br>arwin | TG | DONE |
| 46.  | High Pressure                                     | Fire.<br>Pig trap hydraulically<br>full and isolated and<br>heated by the sun | Potential for<br>overpressure of an<br>isolated pig trap   |                        | Review the need for overpres<br>protection for the pig traps as<br>requirements  | sure<br>per code  | ES | DONE |
| 47.  | Low Temperature                                   | e Failure of the<br>nitrogen vapourising<br>circuit                           | Potential for liquid<br>nitrogen to flow into the<br>carbon steel supply line<br>and hence cause low<br>temperature<br>embrittlement |                        | Confirm that the nitrogen sup<br>include low outlet temperature<br>protection  | ply skid will<br>e  | ES | DONE |
| 48.  | Plant Items                                       |   |  |                        | Provide means to depressuris<br>nitrogen hose at the pig laund<br>standard VOPAK design). Ap<br>action to all nitrogen utility po  | se the<br>cher (as per<br>ply this<br>ints                  | LC | DONE |
| 49.  | Electrical  |   |  |                        | Confirm that the product velo-<br>through the perforated area w<br>pig trap is within the 7m/s VO<br>requirements  | city<br>/ithin the<br>PAK                                   | TG | DONE |

|      | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET     |                    |               |  |  |   |    |      |  |  |  |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|---|----|------|--|--|--|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines                     | minal Sydney, BLB2 | TEAM MEMBERS: | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |  | DATE: 26/02/13  |    |      |  |  |  |
| SYST | EM: Pigging of                                    | the Wharflines     | LEADER:       | Dean Shewring  | Dean Shewring REV: G 6/11/2013   |   |    |      |  |  |  |
| DRAW | DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001 and 002<br>Rev D |                    | MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar  |  |   |    |      |  |  |  |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                                       | POSSIBLE CAUSES    | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMENDED   |   | BY | DONE |  |  |  |
| 50.  | Instruments                                       |                    |               |  | Install a flow meter on the nitrogen<br>supply line for accounting purposes<br>unless an alternate means can be<br>provided.<br>For export pigging, the nitrogen quantity<br>will not be monitored as it is sourced<br>from terminal systems. An estimate of<br>the quantity will be required for this |   | TG | DONE |  |  |  |
| 51.  | Instruments                                       |                    |               |  | Review the need for an additi<br>signal at the isolation valve pi<br>that a pig has travelled passe<br>and become stuck to allow VC<br>clear the berth   | onal pig<br>t to confirm<br>d this point<br>DPAK to                         | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 52.  | Instruments                                       |                    |               |  | Confirm the reason why 1m/s<br>design requirement for piggin<br>velocity is preferred by Opera<br>Review the need for using a s<br>flow meter adjacent to the pig<br>isolation valve which is to be<br>controlling the flow<br>To monitor flow locally during  | is the<br>g as high<br>tions.<br>strap-on<br>receiver<br>used for<br>export | ES | DONE |  |  |  |
|      |   |                    |               |  | pigging, change FT8113 to FI   | T8113   | -  |      |  |  |  |

|      | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |  |   |  |   |  |    |      |  |  |  |
|------|---|--|---|--|---|--|----|------|--|--|--|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines                 | ninal Sydney, BLB2   | TEAM MEMBERS:   | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Trent<br>Gearside, Steve Bates |   | DATE: 26/2/13<br>REV G<br>6/11/2013          |    |      |  |  |  |
| SYST | EM: Export                                    |  | LEADER:   | Dean Shewring  |   |  |    |      |  |  |  |
| DRAV | VING: 401015-001<br>003 Rev D                 | 26-PR-PID-001, 002 and   | d MINUTES BY:   | Shree Pawar  |   |  |    |      |  |  |  |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES  | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMENDED  |  | BY | DONE |  |  |  |
| 54.  | General<br>Discussion                         | SPC requirement for<br>vapour control when<br>exporting odorous<br>liquids         |   |  | Review means to prevent em<br>odorous liquids from the ship<br>exporting  | nission of<br>when                           | SB | DONE |  |  |  |
| 55.  | General<br>Discussion                         |  |   |  | Confirm that all shutdown val<br>wharf lines are rated for tight<br>bi-directional service  | lves in the<br>shut off in                   | LC | DONE |  |  |  |
| 56.  | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | For diesel, there is a<br>potential to run 3<br>tank transfer pumps<br>to the ship | Greater surge when<br>XV8105 shuts.<br>Potential to exceed<br>7m/s through the NRV<br>bypass valves |  | VOPAK to confirm the maxim<br>rate and include this scenario<br>surge study.<br>Confirm that the velocities in<br>bypasses are limited to a ma<br>7m/s      | the NRV<br>ximum of                          | ES | DONE |  |  |  |
| 57.  | Zero Flow /<br>Empty                          | Ship moves away<br>from berth and ERC<br>activated or ship<br>closes valve         | Potential for hammer in the wharf line MLA  | Design velocity when<br>exporting from one<br>pump is 1.5 m/s                              | Include this scenario in the s  | urge study                                   | LC | DONE |  |  |  |
| 58.  | Zero Flow /<br>Empty                          | Operator chooses<br>any valve in the<br>transfer line to isolate<br>ship           | Potential for surge /<br>phase separation   |  | Include in the export procedu<br>isolation valves that are requ<br>shut to terminate export, i.e.<br>that do not cause hammer / p<br>separation when closed | ire the<br>ired to be<br>the valves<br>bhase | SB | DONE |  |  |  |

|   | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET                                   |  |  |  |   |                                  |    |                                      |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| PROJ  | PROJECT: Vopak Terminal Sydney, BLB2<br>Wharflines                              |  | TEAM MEMBERS:  | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Trent<br>Gearside, Steve Bates |   | DATE: 26/2/13                    |    |                                      |  |  |
| SYSTI   | SYSTEM: Export  |  | LEADER:  | Dean ShewringREV G<br>6/11/201   |   | REV G<br>6/11/2013               |    |                                      |  |  |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-001, 002 and 003 Rev D |   | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar  |  |   |                                  |    |                                      |  |  |
| No.   | GUIDE WORDS   | POSSIBLE CAUSES  | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS   | ACTION RECOMMENDED  |                                  | BY | DONE                                 |  |  |
| 59.   | Reverse Flow  | No reverse flow<br>protection in the<br>wharf line during<br>export                          | If liquid level in the ship<br>is higher than that in the<br>tank, potential for<br>reverse flow.<br>When XV8105 closed,<br>potential for phase<br>separation downstream | NRV installed after the<br>tank transfer pumps.<br>XV8105 slow closing.<br>Surge analysis  | Review whether the ship has<br>NRV or whether NRV's are re<br>the export bypass valves V81<br>V2263 | its own<br>equired in<br>108 and | SB | NO<br>ACTION-<br>NOT<br>FEASIBL<br>E |  |  |
| 60.   | High Pressure   | Initially, export can<br>be done by<br>siphoning from a<br>tank with high level<br>to a ship | Potential for hammer<br>when XV 8105 is closed<br>when siphoning   |  | Include this scenario in the su<br>analysis   | nde                              | LC | DONE                                 |  |  |
| 61.   | Change in<br>Composition or<br>Concentration /<br>Two-Phase Flow<br>/ Reactions | Exporting ethanol or bio-diesel  | Potential to cause<br>equipment damage to<br>seals in the MLA  |  | Confirm that all materials of c<br>are compatible with ethanol a<br>diesel                          | construction<br>and bio          | TG | DONE                                 |  |  |

|      | PINNACLE RISK MANAGEMENT - HAZOP RECORD SHEET |  |  |   |  |                                   |               |      |  |  |  |  |
|------|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| PROJ | ECT: Vopak Terr<br>Wharflines                 | ninal Sydney, BLB2                                     | TEAM MEMBERS:  | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Neil Trillo, Steve Bates |  | DATE: 26/                         | DATE: 26/2/13 |      |  |  |  |  |
| SYST | EM: MLA Produ                                 | ct Stripping Pump                                      | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring   |  | REV G                             |               |      |  |  |  |  |
| DRAV | /ING: 401015-001                              | 26-PR-PID-001 Rev D                                    | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar   |  |                                   |               |      |  |  |  |  |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES  | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS  | ACTION RECOMMENDED   |                                   | BY            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 62.  | General<br>Discussion                         |  |  |   | Include means to indicate wh<br>pump is running dry (e.g. illur<br>sight glass) to allow the opera<br>the pump                                       | en the<br>ninated<br>ator to stop | ES            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 63.  | General<br>Discussion                         | Redundant lines  |  |   | Delete the lines to valve V8132, V8134<br>and V8143  |                                   | LC            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 64.  | High Flow /<br>High Level                     | Pump running too<br>long                               | Potential to damage pump seals   |   | Include a 5 min stop/start fun   | ction                             | LC            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 65.  | Reverse Flow                                  | Following export,<br>V8138 open and<br>NRV V8150 fails | Potential for loss of<br>containment out the<br>MLA high point vent and<br>hence environmental<br>impact and fines |   | Ensure there are adequate m<br>prevent this scenario   | eans to                           | ES            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 66.  | High Pressure                                 | Pump dead head   | Potential to exceed the pipeline design pressure   |   | PSV V8174 is to be sized for<br>dead head conditions if possi<br>otherwise install separate dea<br>over pressure protection as w<br>REMOVED IN REV F | pump<br>ble,<br>ad head<br>/ell   | ES            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 67.  | High<br>Temperature                           |  |  | 5 min timer prevents<br>overheating of pump,<br>operator attendance   | Provide means to indicate that is running at the berth   | at the pump                       | LC            | DONE |  |  |  |  |
| 68.  | Impurities                                    |  |  |   | Install a strainer immediately<br>of the pump to prevent solids<br>ship damaging the pump  | upstream<br>from the              | LC            | DONE |  |  |  |  |

|  |                               | PINNACLE R              | ISK MANAGE    | MENT - HAZ   | OP RECORD SH  | EET   |    |      |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--|---|---|----|------|
| PROJ                                   | ECT: Vopak Tern<br>Wharflines | minal Sydney, BLB2<br>S | TEAM MEMBERS: | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |   | DATE: 26/2/13   |    |      |
| SYST                                   | EM: Culvert Sur               | ımp Pump                | LEADER:       | Dean Shewring  |   | REV G<br>6/11/2013  |    |      |
| DRAWING: 401015-00126-PR-PID-002 Rev D |                               | MINUTES BY:             | Shree Pawar   |  |   |   |    |      |
| No.                                    | GUIDE WORDS                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES         | CONSEQUENCES  | EXISTING   | ACTION RECOMMENDED  |   | BY | DONE |
|  |                               |                         |               | SAFEGUARDS   |   |   |    |      |
| 69.                                    | General<br>Discussion         |                         |               |  | Reduce rainwater ingress into<br>culvert by installing covers an<br>the existing pump and facilitie<br>drawing. The culvert is to be<br>out manually (e.g. via sucker<br>Provide inspection facilities for<br>operators to view the pipes in<br>culvert. No further HAZOP of<br>is therefore required | into the LC<br>and delete<br>lities from the<br>be pumped<br>(er truck).<br>s for the<br>s inside the<br>P of this area |    | DONE |

|  |                               | PINNACLE R             | ISK MANAGE   | EMENT - HAZ            | ZOP RECORD SH   | EET                                |    |      |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|---|------------------------------------|----|------|
| PROJECT: Vopak Terminal Sydney, BLB2<br>Wharflines |                               | TEAM MEMBERS:          | WP: Les Cohen<br>Vopak: Keyhan Nouriafshar, Eric Strautins, Andrew<br>Skeet, Trent Gearside, Steve Bates |                        | DATE: 26/2/13<br>REV G<br>6/11/2013   |                                    |    |      |
| SYST   | EM: Overview                  |                        | LEADER:  | Dean Shewring          |   |                                    |    |      |
| DRAV   | VING: 401015-001<br>003 Rev D | 26-PR-PID-001, 002 and | MINUTES BY:  | Shree Pawar            |   |                                    |    |      |
| No.  | GUIDE WORDS                   | POSSIBLE CAUSES        | CONSEQUENCES   | EXISTING<br>SAFEGUARDS | ACTION RECOMMEN   | DED                                | BY | DONE |
| 70.  | Commissioning                 |                        |  |                        | Review the need for installing<br>guards and/or spiral wound g<br>minimise the risk of a leak sp<br>the water and hence causing<br>environmental impact<br>SPIRAL WOUND GASKETS | flange<br>askets to<br>raying into | ES | DONE |
| 71.  | Breakdown                     |                        |  |                        | Provide a 2 inch connection a<br>drainage pump for a tempora<br>connection to be used should<br>installed pump fail   | after the<br>ry pump<br>I the      | LC | DONE |
| 72.  | Fire / Explosion              |                        |  |                        | Confirm the number and loca safety showers at the berth   | tion of                            | TG | DONE |

Guide Words Used in this Study:

#### *Line-by-line guide words:*

- High Level / High Flow
- Low Level / Low Flow
- Zero Flow / Empty
- Reverse Flow
- High Pressure Venting, relief
- Low Pressure Venting, relief
- High Temperature
- Low Temperature
- Impurities Gaseous, liquid, solid
- > Change in Concentration or Composition / Two Phase Flow / Reactions
- Testing Equipment / product
- Plant Items Operable / maintainable
- Electrical
- Instruments

#### **Overview Guide Words**

- > Toxicity
- Commissioning
- > Startup
- Shutdown (isolation, purging)
- Breakdown (including services failure)
- ➤ Effluent
- Fire and Explosion
- > Noise / Vibration
- Materials of Construction



## ISSUED: 3-11-2013 Prepared By: D.KEARNEY DOCNO: NOPB1334

**DISTRIBUTION:** 

**OPERATIONS NOTICEBOARDS - SYDNEY SITE B** 

# **OPERATIONS NOTICE**

## Hot Work during BLB2 Construction

### Please ensure the following instruction is read and understood:

Please note that the Following restrictions during Hot work

- No hot works during Class 3 transfers from BLB1 to Site B.

- No hot work in TK726 Bund during transfers to Tk 726
- No Hot work in B3 slops area during transfers to TK 836 and 837
- No Hot work in B3 Manifold area during transfers

## NOTE: Any aggressive hot work to be conducted in B3 manifold must be upon approval of the Terminal Manager

During construction of BLB2, Transfield will be required to conduct Hot Work inside TK 726 Bund wall, during this time TK 726 should not be selected as the return Tank for the VRU if possible. When selecting another VRU return Tank, please ensure Tank is on spec, and has suitable Ullage to receive VRU returns.

Note: If TK 726 needs to be used, please communicate to Superintendent to ensure this message is passed onto Transfield.

### Regards

#### Declan Kearney

| Ben Stokes    | Kevin Pace    | Mitchell Morris   | Mick Wright | Phill Pace |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Paul Wilson   | Justin Saliba | Matt<br>McWhinney | Steve Ryan  | Luke Bell  |
| Troy Mc Kenna | David Childs  | Ed K              |             |            |



FQAP06C

## **Audit Report**

Audit Report No:

Quarter Period: 3

Page 1 of 2

#### Auditor(s): Declan Kearney

#### Persons Contacted: BLB2 Project Team, Transfield, Site B Operations

#### **Process Activity to Audit:**

Audit of construction safety study to ensure key elements have been incorporated into daily work permits/practices

Previous Audit Results: N/A

QOL Corrective Action Reports issued: as per report

Summary of Audit:

Area 1 – Bulk Liquid Berth (BLB2) wharf – minors quantities of paint equipment onsite – routine housekeeping and basic fire fighting equipment to be provided.

Very minor quantities of paint stored correctly, adequate fire extinguishers strategically located across site.

#### Area 2 – Pipeline corridor

**Site Permit to work system** – Transfield work under Vopak Permit to work system- all permit all JSA's and permits are reviewed and signed on by Vopak operation team

No work within corridor adjacent to pipes during product transfer without risk assessment and terminal manager approval – No work being conducted for any flammable product during pipeline corridor as per Operation Notice (see attached)

**Emergency procedure** – emergency procedure created for work on construction site and work within Vopak terminal, this has been reviewed by Vopak personnel.

**Control and auditing of construction safety by Vopak personnel** – Vopak have appointed a Construction supervisor and safety supervisor, there primary roles are to supervise and ensure safe and controlled work at all times during construction. Vopak Operation also conduct Safety Observation Rounds on the construction site

Spill response - spill response sighted in containers – Transfield major spill scenario is filling site generator (diesel)

#### Emergency isolation valves -

**Fire fighting systems** – any hot work conducted on site has adequate fire fighting capabilities based on risk assessment of tasks conducted. Any work on Vopak site will utilise4 Vopak fire fighting systems.

**Inductions** – All Transfield personnel are required to complete BLB2 Induction, Transfield Induction and Vopak induction to work on site, Transfield, have induction register to verify compliance

**Training -** Transfield have training register to ensure all staff are competent in tasks they are required to conduct including confined space entry, Vopak conduct site specific training for Hot work.



FQAP06C

# Audit Report

| Area 5 - No work within tank Bunds whilst product is being transferred to tanks with that bund without risk assessment and Terminal manager approval – no work confirmed as per Operation notice (see attached) |
|---|
|   |
| Follow up Action Items/Recommendation for Improvement:  |
| Ongoing audits and safety observation rounds to be completed  |
|   |
| AUDIT REPORT APPROVALS  |
| 1. Auditor: Declan K Signature:   |
| 2. Process Owner: Signature: Date:  |
| After obtaining Process Owners approval, please submit completed reports to the Audit Coordinator   |
| 3. SHEQ Manager: Signature:   |