NSW Site Auditor Scheme SITE AUDIT STATEMENT



A site audit statement summarises the findings of a site audit. For full details of the site auditor's findings, evaluations and conclusions, refer to the associated site audit report.

This form was approved under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 on 31st October 2012. For more information about completing this form, go to Part IV.

PART I: Site audit identification

Site audit statement no. GN 401-9B

This site audit is a statutory audit/non-statutory audit* within the meaning of the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997.

Site auditor details (as accredited under the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997)

Name:	Graeme Nyland		Company:	Ramboll Environ Australia Pty Ltd			
Address:	Level 3, 100 Pacific Highway (PO Box 560)						
	North Sydney NS	SW	Postcode:	2060			
Phone:	02 9954 8100		Fax:	02 9954 8150			
Site details							
Address:	Cosgrove Road,	Enfield, NSW					
Postcode: 2136							
Property description (attach a list if several properties are included in the site audit)							
Viewing area accessed off Punchbowl Road							
Part Lot 19 in DP1183316							
Local Gov	ernment Area:	Strathfield Municipal Co	uncil				
Area of site	e (e.g. hectares):	Viewing area approximation	ately 1,200m	2			
Current zo	ning:	General Industrial IN1					
To the best of my knowledge, the site is/is not * the subject of a declaration, order, agreement or notice under the <i>Contaminated Land Management Act 1997</i> or the <i>Environmentally Hazardous</i>							

Chemicals Act 1985.

Declaration/Order/Agreement/Proposal/Notice* no(s): N/A

Site audit commissioned by

Name:	Christa Sams	Company: NSW Ports	Sydney Ports Corporation, now
Address:	PO Box 297 Botany NSW		
Postcode:	1455		
Phone:	1300 922 524	Fax:	NA

Name and phone number of contact person (if different from above)

Matthew Fahey, NSW Ports (0421 616 300)

Purpose of site audit

A. To determine land use suitability (please specify intended use[s])

Public Open Space

OR

- -B(i) To determine the nature and extent of contamination, and/or
- B(ii) To determine the appropriateness of an investigation/remedial action/management plan*, and/or
- B(iii) To determine if the land can be made suitable for a particular use or uses by implementation of a specified remedial action plan/management plan* (please specify intended use[s])

.....

Information sources for site audit

Consultancy(ies) which conducted the site investigation(s) and/or remediation

- CMPS&F Environmental
- Coffey Environments Australia Pty Ltd (Coffey)
- Hibbs and Associates Pty Ltd (Hibbs)
- CH2M Hill Australia Pty Ltd (CH2M Hill)
- Enviropacific Services (Enviropacific).

Title(s) of report(s) reviewed:

- 'Enfield Marshalling Yard Soil Validation Report' dated June 1996 by CMPS&F Environmental.
- 'Part A of the Contamination Assessment at the Former Enfield Marshalling Yard' dated July 1999 by CH2M Hill.
- 'Contamination Assessment of Stockpiled Material at Stockpile 1, Stockpile 2 and Stockpile 3, ILC @ Enfield, Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South, NSW' dated 23 September 2011 by Coffey.
- 'Assessment of Asbestos in Stockpiled Material at Stockpiles 1, 2, 2A and 3 ILC @ Enfield, Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South, NSW' dated 17 October 2011 by Coffey.

- 'Clarification to Coffey Reports Regarding Asbestos in Stockpiles 1, 2, 2A and 3 ILC
 @ Enfield, Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South, NSW' dated 27 October 2011 by Coffey.
- 'Laboratory Quality System Quality Assurance / Quality Controls' dated 6 June 2012 by Hibbs.
- 'Contamination Assessment of Stockpiled Material at ILC Enfield, Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South, NSW' dated 20 September 2012 by Coffey.
- 'Asbestos Impacted Materials Movement Summary Report December 2011 to April 2012 ILC Enfield' dated November 2012 by Hibbs.
- 'Materials Movement Summary Report for: Materials Relocated to Stockpile No. 4 and Asbestos Impacted Materials May to October 2012 ILC Enfield' dated February 2013 by Hibbs.
- 'Materials Movement Summary Report for: Materials Relocated to Stockpile No. 4 and Asbestos Impacted Materials November 2012 – April 2013 ILC Enfield' dated August 2013 by Hibbs.
- 'Materials Movement Summary Report for: Materials Relocated to Stockpile No.4 and Asbestos impacted materials May to December 2013 ILC Enfield' dated February 2014 by Hibbs.
- 'Confirmation of Removal of Stockpile No. 2 Materials from the Temporary Access Haul Road, ILC Enfield' dated 18 February 2014 by Coffey.
- 'Validation Report for Portion of Validation Area 2 (Footpath and Viewing Area to Mount Enfield) ILC @ Enfield, Enfield, NSW', dated 2 May 2016(and draft dated 19 January 2016) by Coffey ('the validation report')
- 'Site Management Plan Southern Precinct' dated February 2016.

Other information reviewed (including previous site audit reports and statements relating to the site)

- 'Summary Site Audit Report, Enfield Marshalling Yard' and Site Audit Statement GN-9, dated 20 September 1999.
- 'Site Audit Report, Delec Depot, Enfield' and Site Audit Statement GN-34, dated January 2002.
- Section B Site Audit Statement (GN 401-2A) dated 2 July 2009 and Section B Site Audit Statement (GN 401-3A) dated 4 November 2009.
- Section B Site Audit Statement (GN 401-1A) dated 19 February 2009 and Section A Site Audit Statement (GN 401-1B) dated 27 August 2009.
- Interim Advice Letter (IAL) 'Implementation of Remedial Action Plan for Separable Portions 2, 3, 4 and 5. Intermodal Logistics Centre @ Enfield' dated July 2010.
- Interim Advice Letter 'Wagon Repair Shed Remediation, Intermodal Logistics Centre @ Enfield' dated 25 November 2010.
- Section A Site Audit Statement (GN 401-5B) dated December 2013.
- Section A Site Audit Statement (GN 401-6B) dated August 2014.

- Section A Site Audit Statement (GN 401-7B) dated October 2014.
- Section A Site Audit Statement (GN401-8B) dated November 2014.

Site audit report

Title: Site Audit Report – Mt Enfield Viewing Area, Intermodal Logistics Centre at Enfield

Report no. GN 401-9 (Ramboll Environ Ref: AS120873) Date: May 2016



THE SITE								
1 LT								
a the state								
CO-ORDINATES								
NORTHING R.L. NO. EASTING NORTHING R.L.								
246713.528 31.242 37 322218.835 6246617.105 35.412								
246715.884 30.939 38 322223.518 6246606.217 35.524								
246719.997 31.204 39 322233.346 6246584.533 35.576								
246720.081 31.254 40 322237.235 6246576.584 35.652								
246718.471 31.247 41 322251.34 6246554.342 33.006								
246718.134 31.242 42 322264.895 6246538.727 29.575								
246714.112 31.019 43 322275.738 6246527.474 26.961								
246690,817 32,711 44 322276,775 6246525,307 26,139								
466666.081 34.368 45 322210.805 6246649.359 34.937								
246657.869 34.718 46 322210.41 6246650.344 34.929								
46636,959 35,145 47 322230,024 6246591,852 35,495								
46622.607 35.335 48 322230.514 6246590.931 35.515								
ENFIELD ILC MOUINT ENFIELD PATH & VIEWING AREAS DETAIL SURVEY								
DRAFTED BY: N.C. DATE: 6.11.16								
PHOTOGRAPHY DATE: 16,10,15 SENP156A								

PART II: Auditor's findings

Please complete either Section A or Section B, not both. (Strike out the irrelevant section.)

Use Section A where site investigation and/or remediation has been completed and a conclusion can be drawn on the suitability of land use(s).

Use Section B where the audit is to determine the nature and extent of contamination and/or the appropriateness of an investigation or remedial action or management plan and/or whether the site can be made suitable for a specified land use or uses subject to the successful implementation of a remedial action or management plan.

Section A

- ☑ I certify that, in my opinion, the site is SUITABLE for the following use(s) (tick all appropriate uses and strike out those not applicable):
 - -Residential, including substantial vegetable garden and poultry
 - -Residential, including substantial vegetable garden, excluding poultry
 - Residential with accessible soil, including garden (minimal home-grown produce contributing less than 10% fruit and vegetable intake), excluding poultry
 - Day care centre, preschool, primary school
 - -Residential with minimal opportunity for soil access, including units
 - Secondary school
 - -Park, recreational open space, playing field
 - -Commercial/industrial
 - ☑ Other (please specify) ... Public footpath and viewing area.....

subject to compliance with the following environmental management plan (insert title, date and author of plan) in light of contamination remaining on the site:

'Enfield Intermodal Logistics Centre, Site Management Plan – Southern Precinct' dated February 2016.

OR

-I certify that, in my opinion, the site is NOT SUITABLE for any use due to the risk of harm from contamination.

Overall comments:

The site is part of a larger area which has been developed for use as a freight terminal known as the Enfield Intermodal Logistics Centre (ILC). The ILC was formerly part of a larger railway marshalling yard and Diesel Electric and Electric Locomotive Maintenance Centre. Prior to redevelopment, there were a number of large soil stockpiles on the marshalling yard, including Stockpile 4 or Mt Enfield, part of which is the site covered by this Site Audit Statement.

The southern part of the ILC which includes the current site is not part of the operating area and includes Mt Enfield, frog pods, noise mounds, a detention basin and the currently derelict tarpaulin factory. Mt Enfield is a large man-made stockpile which is largely overgrown with vegetation. The site covered by this Site Audit Statement is the public viewing area consists of a fenced path approximately 5-10 m wide extending from a lockable gate on Punchbowl Road. It has a central walkway with a compacted gravel surface and garden bed strips containing shrubs on both sides.

An ongoing environmental management plan is to be implemented and requires that the current state of the site surfacing is maintained.

Section B

Purpose of the plan¹ which is the subject of the audit ...

I certify that, in my opinion:

the nature and extent of the contamination HAS/HAS NOT* been appropriately determined

AND/OR

□ the investigation/remedial action plan/management plan* IS/IS NOT* appropriate for the purpose stated above

AND/OR

. . .

- □ the site CAN BE MADE SUITABLE for the following uses (tick all appropriate uses and strike out those not applicable):
 - Residential, including substantial vegetable garden and poultry
 - Residential, including substantial vegetable garden, excluding poultry
 - Residential with accessible soil, including garden (minimal home-grown produce contributing less than 10% fruit and vegetable intake), excluding poultry
 - Day care centre, preschool, primary school
 - Residential with minimal opportunity for soil access, including units
 - □ Secondary school/
 - Park, recreational open space, playing field
 - Commercial/industrial
 - □ Other (please specify)

if the site is remediated/managed* in accordance with the following remedial action plan/management plan* (insert title, date and author of plan)

subject to compliance with the following condition(s):

¹ For simplicity, this statement uses the term 'plan' to refer to both plans and reports.

Overall comments

...

PART III: Auditor's declaration

I am accredited as a site auditor by the NSW Environment Protection Authority under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (Accreditation No. 9808).

I certify that:

- I have completed the site audit free of any conflicts of interest as defined in the Contaminated Land Management Act 1997, and
- with due regard to relevant laws and guidelines, I have examined and am familiar with the reports and information referred to in Part I of this site audit, and
- on the basis of inquiries I have made of those individuals immediately responsible for making those reports and obtaining the information referred to in this statement, those reports and that information are, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate and complete, and
- this statement is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate and complete.

I am aware that there are penalties under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* for wilfully making false or misleading statements.

Signed....

Sugara

Date... 16 5 2016

PART IV: Explanatory notes

To be complete, a site audit statement form must be issued with all four parts.

How to complete this form

Part I identifies the auditor, the site, the purpose of the audit and the information used by the auditor in making the site audit findings.

Part II contains the auditor's opinion of the suitability of the site for specified uses or of the appropriateness of an investigation, or remedial action or management plan which may enable a particular use. It sets out succinct and definitive information to assist decision-making about the use(s) of the site or a plan or proposal to manage or remediate the site.

The auditor is to complete either Section A or Section B of Part II, not both.

In **Section A** the auditor may conclude that the land is *suitable* for a specified use(s) OR *not suitable* for any beneficial use due to the risk of harm from contamination.

By certifying that the site is *suitable*, an auditor declares that, at the time of completion of the site audit, no further remediation or investigation of the site was needed to render the site fit for the specified use(s). Any **condition** imposed should be limited to implementation of an environmental management plan to help ensure the site remains safe for the specified use(s). The plan should be legally enforceable: for example a requirement of a notice under the *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (CLM Act) or a development consent condition issued by a planning authority. There should also be appropriate public notification of the plan, e.g. on a certificate issued under s.149 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Auditors may also include **comments** which are key observations in light of the audit which are not directly related to the suitability of the site for the use(s). These observations may cover aspects relating to the broader environmental context to aid decision-making in relation to the site.

In **Section B** the auditor draws conclusions on the nature and extent of contamination, and/or suitability of plans relating to the investigation, remediation or management of the land, and/or whether land can be made suitable for a particular land use or uses upon implementation of a remedial action or management plan.

By certifying that a site *can be made suitable* for a use or uses if remediated or managed in accordance with a specified plan, the auditor declares that, at the time the audit was completed, there was sufficient information satisfying guidelines made or approved under the CLM Act to determine that implementation of the plan was feasible and would enable the specified use(s) of the site in the future.

For a site that *can be made suitable*, any **conditions** specified by the auditor in Section B should be limited to minor modifications or additions to the specified plan. However, if the auditor considers that further audits of the site (e.g. to validate remediation) are required, the auditor must note this as a condition in the site audit statement.

Auditors may also include **comments** which are observations in light of the audit which provide a more complete understanding of the environmental context to aid decision-making in relation to the site.

In **Part III** the auditor certifies his/her standing as an accredited auditor under the CLM Act and makes other relevant declarations.

Where to send completed forms

In addition to furnishing a copy of the audit statement to the person(s) who commissioned the site audit, statutory site audit statements must be sent to:

EPA (NSW)

Contaminated Sites Section PO Box A290, SYDNEY SOUTH NSW 1232 nswauditors@epa.nsw.gov.au

AND

the local council for the land which is the subject of the audit.